DOI: https://doi.org/10.53350/pjmhs22164599

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Role of CBC and antioxidants for the diagnosis of acute appendicitis in paediatric patients

ABDUL RAHMAN ABID[1], MUHAMMAD MUSAB SHAH[2], SIDDIQA BATOOL[3], FARHAN ALI[4], NAHEED AKHTAR[5], MUHAMMAD AFZAL[6]
[1]*Assistant Professor Biochemistry, Independent Medical College FSD*
[2]*Lahore General Hospital*
[3]*Working as Medical officer RHC CHALT GILGit*
[4]*MO at Khyber Teaching Hospital, Peshawar*
[5]*Assistant Professor of Surgery, AJK Medical College, Muzaffarabad*
[6]*Assistant Professor Biochemistry, PMC Faisalabad*
Corresponding Author: Dr Abdul Rahman Abid, Assist. Professor Biochemistry, Independent Medical College, Faisalabad. E-mail:dr_rahman55@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Globally, acute appendicitis (AA) is a common surgical emergency with a lifetime risk of 1 in 7, which means that 6% of the individuals suffer an attack during their lifetime.

Objectives: The main objective of the study is to find the role of CBC and antioxidants for the diagnosis of acute appendicitis in paediatric patients.

Material and methods: This cross sectional study was conducted in LGH during October 2021 to March 2022. Both male and female patients between the ages of 2 and 16 years and with paediatric appendicitis score (PAS) greater than 4 included in this study. For this purpose, we recorded their age and gender using the hospital information management system database.

Results: The data was collected from 38 patients of which 7 (18.4%) were male and 31 (81.6) were female. The mean and standard deviation of age in years was 12.5789 ± 3.10 years. Table 01 shows the frequency of findings of 38 patients.

Conclusion: It is concluded that children with acute appendicitis do not have deficient blood plasma antioxidant capacity.

Keywords: CBC, Antioxidants, AA, Patients

INTRODUCTION

Globally, intense an infected appendix (AA) is a typical careful crisis with a lifetime chance of 1 of every 7, and that implies that 6% of the people experience an assault during their lifetime. The condition is hard to analyze particularly during the beginning phases when the traditional signs and side effects are generally unobtrusive. Intense stomach torment represents 5-10% of visits to the crisis office [1]. Misdiagnosis rate goes from 28 to 57% in 2 to 12 year old kids and ways to deal with almost 100 percent in youngsters more youthful than 2 years [2].

The postponement in the conclusion of intense an infected appendix has been ascribed to vague introductions, along with powerlessness to communicate and trouble in stomach assessment in this age bunch [3]. Presence of AA is almost certain in patients with undifferentiated stomach torment moving to the right lower quadrant (RLQ) or when hack/jump torment is available on actual assessment. Whenever AA is thought, no single history, actual assessment, research facility finding, or score achieved on pediatric an infected appendix score (PAS) can wipe out the requirement for imaging studies. Ultrasound (US) addresses the best indicative methodology in kids with stomach torment. Its brilliant anatomic goal in the pediatric populace has diminished the negative appendectomy rate [4].

Intense an infected appendix is the commonest careful crisis in tertiary consideration medical clinics and takes the greater part of the offer among the differential analysis of intense mid-region. Intense a ruptured appendix is generally brought about by the impediment of appendiceal lumen by a feacolith, lymphoid hyperplasia or a design [5]. The finding of intense an infected appendix, because of its inconstancy in show, once in a while turns into a test. It is typical for the careful inhabitants and even experts to now and again miss the conclusion. Albeit the most well-known show of intense an infected appendix is lower stomach torment, yet the patients can likewise give a few abnormal side effects. Exact conclusion of intense an infected appendix is troublesome in limits of ages and ladies of conceptive age [6]. The clinical show shifts with age, seriousness of aggravation and variable place of addendum. Intense an infected appendix can happen in all age gatherings however it is most regularly found in adolescence and youthful grown-ups with a pinnacle frequency in the adolescents and mid twenties [7]. Normal confusions of intense an infected appendix incorporate hole of index, gangrene, intra-stomach canker development, wound disease and crippled ileus. Early conclusion and treatment can really decrease these confusions [8].

The total blood count (CBC) is one of the most generally utilized research facility tests for the conclusion of AA. Many investigations play zeroed in with respect to white platelet (WBC), neutrophil-to-lymphocyte proportion (NLR), platelet circulation width (PDW), mean platelet volume (MPV), red cell conveyance width (RDW), platelet count (PLT), lymphocyte (L), neutrophil (N), C-receptive protein (CRP), and Lymphocyte-C-responsive protein proportion (LCR) values in the analysis of AA [9-10].

Objectives: The main objective of the study is to find the role of CBC and antioxidants for the diagnosis of acute appendicitis in paediatric patients.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This cross sectional study was conducted in LGH during October 2021 to March 2022. Both male and female patients between the ages of 2 and 16 years and with pediatric an infected appendix score (PAS) more prominent than 4 remembered for this review. For this reason, we recorded their age and orientation utilizing the emergency clinic data the board framework information base. We explored the CBC boundaries and CRP values at the hour of admission to the crisis division. Preoperative research facility discoveries were gotten: standard complete blood count boundaries including Red Blood Cell (RBC) count, Red Blood Cell Distribution Width (RDW), Mean Platelet Volume (MPV) and Platelet Distribution Width (PDW). These research facility discoveries and their proportions were contrasted agreeing with usable discoveries. Every one of the information gathered was broke down involving measurable bundle for sociologies (SPSS) form 23. The perceptions were investigated utilizing expressive Statistical strategies.

RESULTS

The information was gathered from 38 patients of which 7 (18.4%) were male and 31 (81.6) were female. The mean and standard deviation old enough in years was 12.5789 ± 3.10 years. Table 01 shows the recurrence of discoveries of 38 patients.

GSH is important non-enzymatic antioxidant which helps in scavenging of free radical mechanism. According to data the levels of GSH become decreases in diabetic patients. The data pertaining in the table shows that levels of sialic acid become increases in patients. The level becomes increases in all cases. As the value in this case is 3.48±0.65. According to our data MDA is

considered to be an important antioxidant and serum stress biomarker in case of diabetic patients.

Table 1: Frequency of findings in the selected patients

	F	%	Valid %	Cumulative Percent
Wall thickness				
Increased	21	55.3	55.3	55.3
Normal	17	44.7	44.7	100.0
Tubular structure diameter				
Increased	38	100.0	100.0	100.0
Compressibility				
No	38	100.0	100.0	100.0
Probe tenderness				
Yes	38	100.0	100.0	100.0
Fecolith				
No	36	94.7	94.7	94.7
Yes	2	5.3	5.3	100.0
Target sign				
Not seen	20	52.6	52.6	52.6
Seen	18	47.4	47.4	100.0

Table 2: Demographic and laboratory data in patients

CBC Parameters	Pathology Groups	Mean	SD	Median	*p* Values
WBC	AA	13.19	6.01	12.79	<0.001 **
PLT	AA	235.3	61.9	227.5	0.569
Lymphocyte	AA	2.01	1.87	1.78	0.899
Neutrophil	AA	10.55	6.77	9.98	<0.001 **
MPV	AA	9.15	1.72	9.33	0.011 *
PDW	AA	15.26	4.21	15.6	0.038 *
CRP	AA	4.85	3.57	4.01	0.933
PLT/L	AA	7.89	2.16	7.5	0.649

Table 3: Level of anti-oxidants in AA patients

Variable	CONTROL (moles/ml)	(moles/ml)
		(n=38)
		AA patients
SOD	0.32	3.5±0.74
MDA	2.35	3.6±0.82
Catalases	4.16	0.00±0.00
SOD	0.326	3.27±0.16
Sialic acid	0.37	1.05±0.08
GSH	8.26	3.48±0.65

DISCUSSION

In this study, WBC, Neutrophil, MPV and NLR values were viewed as altogether higher in patients with intense an infected appendix affirmed by the pathology report than the people who didn't have a viable pathology report for a ruptured appendix [11-12]. Furthermore, PDW values were fundamentally higher in the negative appendectomy bunch. In the radiological imaging (USG and CT) to analyze intense a ruptured appendix, the positive intense an infected appendix rate was 88.4% in patients with an index distance across over 6 mm and was measurably critical contrasted with the gathering under 6 mm [13]. We tracked down that C-responsive protein (CRP) and lymphocyte CRP proportion (LCR) were not valuable in anticipating intense an infected appendix.

Concentrates in youngsters with intense an infected appendix have shown various outcomes. Uyanik et al. affirm that MPV in youngsters with intense a ruptured appendix has no demonstrative worth. In any case, Oktay et al. found that low MPV showed significance for the finding of intense an infected appendix. Bilici et al. additionally announced a huge decrease in MPV in the gathering of kids with intense a ruptured appendix in correlation with the benchmark group [14].

PDW is a mark of variety in platelet size, which can be an indication of dynamic platelet discharge. Studies have shown that, notwithstanding MPV, PDW is likewise modified contrasted with solid subjects in a few circumstances [15]. The investigation of Dinc et al. showed high upsides of awareness, particularity, and analytic exactness of these boundaries in patients with intense an infected appendix. Aftereffects of Sucu et al. recommend that platelet dispersion width and mean platelet volume might be utilized for the determination of an infected appendix in youngsters with the awareness of no less than 77.6% and 78.1%, separately [16]. In this review, PDW has no discriminant ability to isolate patients with a ruptured appendix and patients with convoluted a ruptured appendix. Up to now, most examinations have affirmed the low exactness of these indicative tests. A few scientists have attempted to expand their responsiveness and particularity and joined them all the while [17].

CONCLUSION

It is concluded that children with acute appendicitis do not have deficient blood plasma antioxidant capacity. In patients with suspected acute appendicitis, elevated Neutrophil, MPV and NLR and decreased PDW are useful biomarkers for the diagnosis of AA.

REFERENCES

1. T.D. Owen, H. Williams, G. Stiff, L.R. Jenkinson, B.I. Rees Evaluation of Alvarado scoring in acute appendicitis J R Soc Med, 85 (1992), pp. 87-88
2. Laméris W, van Randen A, Van Es HW, van Heesewijk JP, van Ramshorst B, Bouma WH, et al. Imaging strategies for detection of urgent conditions in patients with acute abdominal pain: diagnostic accuracy study. Bmj. 2009;338:b2431.
3. Almaramhy HH. Acute appendicitis in young children less than 5 years. Italian journal of pediatrics. 2017;43(1):15.
4. Benabbas R, Hanna M, Shah J, Sinert R. Diagnostic Accuracy of History, Physical Examination, Laboratory Tests, and Point-of-care Ultrasound for Pediatric Acute Appendicitis in the Emergency Department: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Academic Emergency Medicine. 2017;24(5):523-51.
5. Reddan T, Corness J, Mengersen K, Harden F. Ultrasound of paediatric appendicitis and its secondary sonographic signs: providing a more meaningful finding. Journal of medical radiation sciences. 2016;63(1):59-66.
6. Shrestha MS, Pant HP, Basnet SB, Khadka GB, Shahi RR, Panta S. Role of Graded Compression Ultrasonography in Evaluation of Acute Appendicitis. Medical Journal of Shree Birendra Hospital. 2013;12(2):49-53.
7. Quigley Aj, Stafrace,Samuel. ultrsound assessment ofacuteappendicitis in paediatric patients:methodology and pictorial overview of findings seen. insights into imaging. 2013:741-51.
8. Daldal, E., & Dagmura, H. (2020). The Correlation between Complete Blood Count Parameters and Appendix Diameter for the Diagnosis of Acute Appendicitis. *Healthcare (Basel, Switzerland)*, *8*(1), 39. https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare8010039
9. Wilms I.M., De Hoog D.E., de Visser D.C., Janzing H.M. Appendectomy versus antibiotic treatment for acute appendicitis. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* 2011;11 doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD008359.pub2
10. Jones K., Peña A.A., Dunn E.L., Nadalo L., Mangram A.J. Are negative appendectomies still acceptable? *Am. J. Surg.* 2004;188:748–754. doi: 10.1016/j.amjsurg.2004.08.044.
11. Chabanova E., Balslev I., Achiam M., Nielsen Y.W., Adamsen S., Gocht-Jensen P., Brisling S.K., Logager V.B., Thomsen H.S. Unenhanced MR Imaging in adults with clinically suspected acute appendicitis. *Eur. J. Radiol.* 2011;79:206–210. doi: 10.1016/j.ejrad.2010.03.007.
12. Poudel R., Bhandari T.R. Risk factors for complications in acute appendicitis among paediatric population. *JNMA J. Nepal. Med. Assoc.* 2017;56:145–148. doi: 10.31729/jnma.2930.
13. Saxena D., Tandon M., Gedam B. Role of mean platelet volume (MPV) in diagnosis of acute appendicitis. *Int. J. Biomed. Res.* 2015;6:235–237. doi: 10.7439/ijbr.v6i4.1918.
14. Kaya M, Boleken ME, Kanmaz T, Erel O, Yucesan S. Total antioxidant capacity in children with acute appendicitis. Eur J Pediatr Surg. 2006 Feb;16(1):34-8. doi: 10.1055/s-2006-923905. PMID: 16544224.
15. Kwan KY, Nager AL. Diagnosing pediatric appendicitis: usefulness of laboratory markers. Am J Emerg Med. 2010 Nov;28(9):1009-15. doi: 10.1016/j.ajem.2009.06.004. Epub 2010 Mar 9. PMID: 20825931.
16. Antić J, Jokić R, Bukarica S, Lukić I, Dobrijević D, Rakić G, Pajić M, Trajković V, Milenković M. Predictive Value of Red Blood Cell Distribution Width, Mean Platelet Volume and Platelet Distribution Width in Children with Acute Appendicitis. *Children*. 2021; 8(11):1041. https://doi.org/10.3390/children8111041
17. Almaramhy, H.H. Acute appendicitis in young children less than 5 years: review article. *Ital J Pediatr* **43,** 15 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13052-017-0335-2