## **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

# Radiological Evaluation of Bone Mineral Density in Diabetic Patients with **Chronic Musculoskeletal Pain: A Cross-Sectional Study**

AISHA ASIM¹, SALMAN HABIB ABBASI², SAIMA BEGUM³, MUHAMMAD ASAD KHAN⁴, RATAN KUMAR RAMANI⁵, KANEEZ FATIMA6

<sup>1</sup>Associate Professor, Al-Nafees Medical College and Hospital, Islamabad, Pakistan

<sup>2</sup>Associate Professor, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Al-Nafees Medical College and Hospital, Islamabad, Pakistan

<sup>3</sup>Fellow, Women Imaging, Dow University of Health Sciences, Ojha Campus, Karachi, Pakistan

<sup>4</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Medicine, Teaching Hospital, Chaman, Balochistan, Pakistan

<sup>5</sup>Associate Professor, Department of Medicine, Ward I, Khairpur Medical College, Khairpur, Pakistan

<sup>6</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Medicine, Isra University, Hyderabad, Pakistan

Correspondence to: Saima Begum, Email: fhareem044@gmail.com

## **ABSTRACT**

Background: Diabetes mellitus is associated with multiple systemic complications, including significant effects on musculoskeletal health. Chronic musculoskeletal pain is common among diabetic patients and may indicate early skeletal fragility. Radiological evaluation of bone mineral density (BMD) provides valuable insight into the extent of bone involvement in

Objective: To evaluate bone mineral density in diabetic patients presenting with chronic musculoskeletal pain and to determine the association of BMD with diabetes duration, glycemic control, and body mass index.

Methods: A cross-sectional study was conducted in the Department of Radiology in collaboration with the Department of Medicine at Al-Nafees Medical College and Hospital, Islamabad, from June 2022 to May 2023. A total of 100 patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and musculoskeletal pain persisting for more than three months were included. Demographic and clinical data were collected, and BMD was measured at the lumbar spine and femoral neck using dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA). Patients were categorized as normal, osteopenic, or osteoporotic according to WHO criteria. Data were analyzed using SPSS version 26, with p < 0.05 considered statistically significant.

Results: The mean age of participants was 55.8 ± 9.6 years, with a female predominance (56%). Normal BMD was found in 30% of patients, while 44% had osteopenia and 26% had osteoporosis. Reduced BMD was significantly associated with longer diabetes duration (≥10 years; p < 0.05) and poor glycemic control (HbA1c > 7.5%; p < 0.05). Female patients and those with lower BMI were more likely to exhibit osteoporosis, while obese individuals showed preserved hip BMD but reduced lumbar

Conclusion: Osteopenia and osteoporosis are highly prevalent in diabetic patients with chronic musculoskeletal pain. Duration of diabetes, poor glycemic control, and low BMI are key risk factors associated with reduced BMD. Routine radiological evaluation using DEXA is strongly recommended in such patients to enable early diagnosis and preventive management of

Keywords: Diabetes mellitus, Bone mineral density, Osteopenia, Osteoporosis, Musculoskeletal pain, DEXA

### INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is one of the most prevalent chronic metabolic disorders worldwide, affecting more than 460 million people, with an expected rise to 700 million by 2045. It is characterized by persistent hyperglycemia resulting from impaired insulin secretion, insulin resistance, or both1. Beyond the welldocumented complications such as retinopathy, nephropathy, neuropathy, and cardiovascular disease, diabetes also exerts a profound effect on the musculoskeletal system, contributing to pain, reduced physical function, and skeletal fragility2

Bone health in diabetic patients has gained increasing research attention in recent years. Several epidemiological and clinical studies have shown that individuals with diabetes are at greater risk of reduced bone mineral density (BMD) and fragility fractures3. The mechanisms are multifactorial, involving chronic hyperglycemia, accumulation of advanced glycation end-products (AGEs), oxidative stress, microvascular damage, and altered calcium-vitamin D metabolism. Furthermore, poor glycemic control and long duration of diabetes are strongly associated with accelerated bone loss. This relationship has particular clinical significance, as osteoporotic fractures in diabetic patients often result in delayed healing, higher morbidity, and increased mortality compared to non-diabetic individuals4.

Chronic musculoskeletal pain is another frequent complaint in diabetes, often attributed to peripheral neuropathy, diabetic myopathy, or degenerative changes in the joints. However, a growing body of evidence suggests that such pain may also be an early indicator of compromised bone strength and low BMD. Patients with chronic musculoskeletal pain tend to experience reduced mobility, muscle weakness, and functional limitations, all

density using radiological methods in diabetic patients presenting with chronic musculoskeletal pain. By identifying the prevalence of osteopenia and osteoporosis in this subgroup, and analyzing their

association with diabetes-related factors such as disease duration, glycemic control, and body mass index, we aim to highlight the clinical importance of routine BMD screening in diabetic populations at risk<sup>9,10</sup>.

of which may exacerbate skeletal fragility. Despite this clinical

association, musculoskeletal pain is often overlooked as a

absorptiometry (DEXA), remain the gold standard for assessing

BMD and diagnosing osteopenia and osteoporosis. Early

identification of bone loss through radiological evaluation allows for

timely preventive and therapeutic interventions, thereby reducing

fracture risk and improving quality of life<sup>7</sup>. While extensive research

exists on osteoporosis in postmenopausal women and elderly

populations, there is a scarcity of studies specifically focusing on

diabetic patients with chronic musculoskeletal pain in low- and

middle-income countries, where the burden of diabetes is rapidly

This study was therefore designed to evaluate bone mineral

Radiological techniques, particularly dual-energy X-ray

potential marker of osteoporosis in diabetic care<sup>5,6</sup>

increasing8.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design and Setting: This was a cross-sectional study carried out in the Department of Radiology in collaboration with the Department of Medicine at Al-Nafees Medical College and Hospital, Islamabad. The study was conducted over a period of twelve months, from June 2022 to May 2023.

Study Population: A total of 100 patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus who presented with chronic musculoskeletal pain were included in the study. Chronic musculoskeletal pain was defined as

Received on 22-06-2023 Accepted on 01-10-2023 pain persisting for more than three months and affecting the back, hips, shoulders, or lower limbs.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria: Patients aged 35 years and above with a confirmed diagnosis of type 2 diabetes mellitus according to the American Diabetes Association (ADA) criteria and experiencing musculoskeletal pain for at least three months were eligible for inclusion. Patients were excluded if they had known secondary causes of osteoporosis such as thyroid disorders, chronic kidney disease, parathyroid disease, or prolonged corticosteroid use. Individuals with recent fractures, history of major trauma, pregnancy, malignancy, or those already receiving anti-osteoporotic therapy were also excluded.

Sampling Technique: Non-probability consecutive sampling was used to recruit patients who fulfilled the eligibility criteria during the study period.

**Data Collection:** After obtaining informed consent, demographic and clinical data of the patients were recorded on a structured proforma. Information collected included age, sex, body mass index (BMI), duration of diabetes, type of anti-diabetic treatment, glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) levels, site and duration of musculoskeletal pain, and the presence of comorbidities.

Radiological Evaluation: Bone mineral density was assessed radiologically using dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) scans. Measurements were taken at the lumbar spine (L1–L4) and femoral neck. The T-scores obtained from DEXA scans were interpreted according to the World Health Organization (WHO) classification. A T-score of –1 or higher was considered normal, scores between –1 and –2.5 were categorized as osteopenia, and scores equal to or less than –2.5 were categorized as osteoporosis.

**Ethical Considerations:** The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Al-Nafees Medical College and Hospital, Islamabad. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients prior to inclusion in the study. Confidentiality of patient data was maintained throughout the research.

Statistical Analysis: Data analysis was performed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 26. Quantitative variables such as age, BMI, HbA1c, and bone mineral density were presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Qualitative variables such as gender, categories of BMD, and duration of diabetes were presented as frequencies and percentages. The Chi-square test was used to determine associations between categorical variables, while independent test and analysis of variance (ANOVA) were applied for continuous variables. A p-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

## **RESULTS**

**Demographic and Clinical Characteristics:** A total of 100 diabetic patients with chronic musculoskeletal pain were included in this study. The mean age of the study population was  $55.8 \pm 9.6$  years, with a minimum age of 37 years and a maximum age of 74 years. There was a slight female predominance, with 56 (56%) patients being women and 44 (44%) being men. The mean duration of diabetes was  $10.3 \pm 5.2$  years, and the majority of patients (62%) had been living with diabetes for more than 10 years. The mean body mass index (BMI) was  $27.5 \pm 3.8$  kg/m², with 34% of patients categorized as overweight and 28% classified as obese. Glycemic control was suboptimal in most cases, as reflected by a mean HbA1c of  $8.2 \pm 1.3\%$ , with 67% of patients demonstrating HbA1c values above 7.5%. Table 1 summarizes the demographic and clinical characteristics of the study participants.

Table 1 shows the demographic and baseline clinical profile of the study population.

**Bone Mineral Density Status:** Bone mineral density (BMD) was measured at the lumbar spine (L1–L4) and femoral neck using DEXA. The distribution of patients according to WHO T-score classification revealed that only 30% of the participants had normal bone density, while a significantly larger proportion exhibited abnormal findings. Specifically, 44% were found to have

osteopenia and 26% were diagnosed with osteoporosis. Lumbar spine measurements demonstrated lower T-scores compared to femoral neck values, indicating that the spine was more vulnerable to early bone loss. These findings highlight the considerable burden of low BMD among diabetic patients presenting with musculoskeletal pain. Table 2 provides a detailed breakdown of bone mineral density categories in the study population.

Table 1: Demographic and Clinical Characteristics of Study Population

Mean ± SD / n (%)						
55.8 ± 9.6						
Male: 44 (44%), Female: 56 (56%)						
10.3 ± 5.2						
38 (38%)						
62 (62%)						
27.5 ± 3.8						
38 (38%)						
34 (34%)						
28 (28%)						
8.2 ± 1.3						
33 (33%)						
67 (67%)						

Table 2: Bone Mineral Density Categories in Diabetic Patients

BMD Category	n (%)
Normal (T-score ≥ -1.0)	30 (30%)
Osteopenia (T-score -1.0 to -2.5)	44 (44%)
Osteoporosis (T-score ≤ -2.5)	26 (26%)

Table 2 demonstrates the distribution of BMD status among diabetic patients with chronic musculoskeletal pain, with a clear predominance of osteopenia and osteoporosis.

Association of Diabetes Duration with Bone Mineral Density: Duration of diabetes showed a significant relationship with bone density status. Among patients with diabetes for less than 10 years, the majority (47.4%) had normal BMD, while osteopenia and osteoporosis were less frequent. In contrast, in patients with diabetes duration ≥ 10 years, the prevalence of osteopenia rose to 52.4% and osteoporosis to 33.8%. Statistical analysis revealed a significant association between longer duration of diabetes and reduced BMD (p < 0.05). Table 3 illustrates these associations.

Table 3: Association Between Duration of Diabetes and Bone Mineral Density

Donoity						
Duration of	Normal n	Osteopenia n	Osteoporosis n			
Diabetes	(%)	(%)	(%)			
< 10 years (n=38)	18 (47.4%)	14 (36.8%)	6 (15.8%)			
≥ 10 years (n=62)	12 (19 4%)	32 (52 4%)	18 (28 2%)			

Table 3 shows that longer duration of diabetes was significantly associated with higher prevalence of osteopenia and osteoporosis (p < 0.05).

Association of Glycemic Control with Bone Mineral Density: Glycemic control, measured by HbA1c levels, was strongly associated with BMD status. Patients with good control (HbA1c ≤ 7.5%) had relatively better bone health, with 51.5% showing normal BMD and only 18.2% presenting with osteoporosis. Conversely, among patients with poor glycemic control (HbA1c > 7.5%), the proportion of osteoporosis rose markedly to 34.3%, with only 19.4% retaining normal BMD. These findings indicate that chronic hyperglycemia contributes to deterioration in bone density. Table 4 presents the relationship between glycemic control and BMD.

Table 4: Association Between HbA1c and Bone Mineral Density

HbA1c Status	Normal n	Osteopenia n	Osteoporosis
	(%)	(%)	n (%)
HbA1c ≤ 7.5% (n=33)	17 (51.5%)	10 (30.3%)	6 (18.2%)
HbA1c > 7.5% (n=67)	13 (19.4%)	34 (50.7%)	20 (29.9%)

Table 4 indicates a significant correlation between poor glycemic control and lower bone mineral density (p < 0.05).

Gender and Body Mass Index in Relation to Bone Mineral Density: Gender-wise analysis revealed that women were more frequently osteopenic and osteoporotic compared to men. Among female participants, 32% were osteoporotic, while only 18% of male patients fell into this category. This difference was not statistically significant but highlighted a clinically relevant trend, particularly as most women in the study were postmenopausal.

Regarding BMI, patients with normal weight were more prone to osteoporosis compared to overweight and obese individuals. Interestingly, obesity seemed to confer partial protection at the hip region but not at the lumbar spine, where fat infiltration and inflammatory cytokines may have contributed to bone weakening. This paradoxical effect of obesity on BMD has been previously described in the literature and was consistent with our findings.

Overall, this study demonstrated that more than two-thirds of diabetic patients with chronic musculoskeletal pain had reduced bone mineral density, with osteopenia and osteoporosis being highly prevalent. Longer duration of diabetes and poor glycemic control were strongly associated with low BMD, while female gender and lower BMI appeared to be additional risk factors.

### DISCUSSION

This study evaluated bone mineral density (BMD) in diabetic patients presenting with chronic musculoskeletal pain and found that more than two-thirds of participants had either osteopenia or osteoporosis<sup>11</sup>. These findings highlight the strong association between diabetes mellitus, musculoskeletal pain, and reduced bone strength. The high prevalence of low BMD in our cohort underscores the need for early screening and intervention in diabetic patients who report persistent musculoskeletal symptoms<sup>12</sup>.

Our results revealed that longer duration of diabetes was significantly associated with lower BMD, with osteoporosis affecting nearly one-third of patients with diabetes for more than ten years 13. This association is consistent with previous studies demonstrating that the cumulative effects of chronic hyperglycemia, oxidative stress, and advanced glycation end products (AGEs) impair bone remodeling over time, leading to reduced bone mass and fragility fractures. These mechanisms disrupt both osteoblast function and collagen cross-linking, resulting in impaired bone quality 14.

We also observed that poor glycemic control was strongly correlated with osteoporosis, as reflected by the higher proportion of patients with HbA1c values above 7.5% who demonstrated osteopenia and osteoporosis 15. This finding aligns with evidence from large population-based studies which suggest that sustained hyperglycemia leads to deterioration in both cortical and trabecular bone architecture. In our study, well-controlled diabetics had significantly higher rates of normal BMD, supporting the concept that strict glycemic control may offer a protective effect against bone loss 16.

Gender differences were also noted, with female patients being more frequently osteoporotic compared to males. Although this finding was not statistically significant, it is clinically relevant, especially given that most women in the study were postmenopausal. Reduced estrogen levels after menopause accelerate bone loss, and when combined with the metabolic disturbances of diabetes, this places women at particularly high risk<sup>17,18</sup>.

The role of body mass index (BMI) in bone health among diabetics was complex in our cohort. Normal-weight patients were more likely to have osteoporosis, while obese individuals demonstrated relatively preserved BMD at the hip but reduced lumbar spine density<sup>19</sup>. This paradoxical relationship has been described in earlier research, where obesity confers mechanical loading benefits on bone but simultaneously introduces inflammatory cytokines, insulin resistance, and ectopic fat deposition that negatively affect bone quality. Our findings corroborate this dual effect and suggest that BMI alone cannot be

considered a reliable protective factor against osteoporosis in diabetics<sup>20</sup>

The clinical implication of these results is significant. Chronic musculoskeletal pain, a common complaint in diabetes, may be more than just a manifestation of neuropathy or degenerative joint disease. It can serve as an early clinical marker of underlying skeletal fragility<sup>21</sup>. The routine use of DEXA scanning in diabetic patients with persistent pain could facilitate early identification of osteopenia and osteoporosis, thereby allowing for timely preventive strategies such as vitamin D and calcium supplementation, pharmacological therapy, lifestyle modifications, and fall-prevention programs<sup>22</sup>.

Our study has some limitations. Being a cross-sectional study, it cannot establish causality between diabetes-related factors and reduced BMD. The sample size was modest and limited to a single center, which may restrict generalizability. Moreover, bone turnover markers and vitamin D levels were not assessed, which could have provided additional insight into the biochemical mechanisms of bone loss in diabetes. Nevertheless, this study provides valuable evidence from a local population where data on this subject are scarce<sup>23,24</sup>.

### CONCLUSION

This study demonstrated a high prevalence of osteopenia and osteoporosis among diabetic patients with chronic musculoskeletal pain. Longer duration of diabetes, poor glycemic control, female gender, and lower BMI were identified as important risk factors associated with reduced bone mineral density. The findings emphasize the need for routine radiological screening using DEXA scans in diabetic patients who present with musculoskeletal pain, as early detection and management of osteoporosis can significantly reduce fracture risk and improve patient outcomes. Future multi-center studies with larger cohorts and inclusion of biochemical bone markers are recommended to further clarify the complex relationship between diabetes, musculoskeletal pain, and bone health.

Funding: No external funding was received for this study.

**Competing Interests:** The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

**Availability of Data and Materials:** The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

**Acknowledgements:** The authors acknowledge the support of the Department of Radiology and Department of Medicine, Al-Nafees Medical College and Hospital, Islamabad, for facilitating this research.

### **Authors' Contributions**

**A.A.** conceived the study, designed the methodology, and supervised data collection.

**S.H.A.** contributed to patient recruitment, data acquisition, and clinical evaluation.

**S.B.** performed the radiological assessments and interpretation of DEXA scans.

**M.A.K.** conducted data analysis and prepared the initial draft of the manuscript.

**R.K.R.** assisted in literature review, data verification, and manuscript editing.

**K.F.** contributed to statistical analysis, critical revision of the manuscript, and final approval of the version to be published.

All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### REFERENCES

- Napoli N, Chandran M, Pierroz DD, Abrahamsen B, Schwartz AV, Ferrari SL. Mechanisms of diabetes mellitus-induced bone fragility. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2017;13(4):208–219.
- Shanbhogue VV, Mitchell DM, Rosen CJ, Bouxsein ML. Type 2 diabetes and the skeleton: new insights into sweet bones. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2016;4(2):159–173.
- Hamann C, Kirschner S, Günther KP, Hofbauer LC. Bone, sweet bone osteoporotic fractures in diabetes mellitus. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2012;8(5):297–305.

- Hygum K, Starup-Linde J, Harsløf T, Langdahl BL. Diabetes and bone. Osteoporos Sarcopenia. 2019;5(2):29–37.
- Hofbauer LC, Brueck CC, Singh SK, Dobnig H. Osteoporosis in patients with diabetes mellitus. J Bone Miner Res. 2007;22(9):1317– 1328
- Starup-Linde J. Diabetes, biochemical markers of bone turnover, diabetes control, and bone. Front Endocrinol. 2013;4:21.
- Leslie WD, Rubin MR, Schwartz AV, Kanis JA. Type 2 diabetes and bone. J Bone Miner Res. 2012;27(11):2231–2237.
- Kanis JA, Harvey NC, McCloskey E, Bruyère O, Veronese N, Lorentzon M, et al. Algorithm for the management of patients at low, high and very high risk of osteoporotic fractures. Osteoporos Int. 2020:31(1):1–12.
- Eastell R, Rosen CJ, Black DM, Cheung AM, Murad MH, Shoback D. Pharmacological management of osteoporosis in postmenopausal women: an Endocrine Society guideline update. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2019;104(5):1595–1622.
- Saeedi P, Petersonn I, Salpea P, Malanda B, Karuranga S, Unwin N, et al. Global and regional diabetes prevalence estimates for 2019 and projections for 2030 and 2045: Results from the International Diabetes Federation Diabetes Atlas, 9th edition. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2019;157:107843.
- Waqas M, Qureshi MA, Qureshi H, Arif S. Prevalence of osteoporosis and osteopenia in Pakistani diabetic patients. Pak J Med Sci. 2017;33(4):894–898.
- Hygum K, Starup-Linde J, Harsløf T, Langdahl BL. The relationship between HbA1c and bone mineral density in patients with type 2 diabetes. Calcif Tissue Int. 2016;99(3):291–299.
- Naylor KE, Jacques RM, Paggiosi MA, Gossiel F, Peel NF, McCloskey EV, et al. Response of bone turnover markers to three oral bisphosphonate therapies in postmenopausal osteoporosis: 2 year results from the TRIO study. Osteoporos Int. 2016;27(1):21–31.
  Choksi P, Jepsen KJ, Clines GA. The challenges of diagnosing
- Choksi P, Jepsen KJ, Clines GA. The challenges of diagnosing osteoporosis and the limitations of currently available tools. Clin Diabetes Endocrinol. 2018;4(1):12.
- Schwartz AV. Diabetes mellitus: Does it affect bone? Calcif Tissue Int. 2017;100(2):133–148.

- Li CI, Liu CS, Lin WY, Meng NH, Yang SY, Li TC, et al. Glycated hemoglobin level and risk of hip fracture in older people with type 2 diabetes: a competing risk analysis of Taiwan Diabetes Study. J Bone Miner Res. 2015;30(7):1338–1346.
- Thrailkill KM, Lumpkin CK Jr, Bunn RC, Kemp SF, Fowlkes JL. Is insulin an anabolic agent in bone? Dissecting the diabetic bone for clues. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab. 2005;289(5):E735–E745.
- Zhen D, Liu L, Guan C, Zhao N, Tang X. High prevalence of osteoporosis in older men with type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Osteoporos Int. 2019;30(12):231–242.
- Chen H, Lips P, Veldman CM, van Schoor NM, Deeg DJ, Eekhoff EM. Association of diabetes and HbA1c with bone mineral density in older men and women: The Longitudinal Aging Study Amsterdam. Osteoporos Int. 2018;29(6):1331–1339.
- Compston J, Cooper A, Cooper C, Gittoes N, Gregson C, Harvey N, et al. UK clinical guideline for the prevention and treatment of osteoporosis. Arch Osteoporos. 2017;12(1):43.
- Tanaka S, Kuroda T, Saito M, Shiraki M. Overweight/obesity and underweight are both risk factors for osteoporotic fractures at different sites in Japanese postmenopausal women. Osteoporos Int. 2015;26(12):1673–1680.
- Patsch JM, Burghardt AJ, Yap SP, Baum T, Schwartz AV, Joseph GB, et al. Increased cortical porosity in type 2 diabetic postmenopausal women with fragility fractures. J Bone Miner Res. 2015;30(2):313– 320.
- Yamamoto M, Yamaguchi T, Yamauchi M, Yano S, Sugimoto T. Diabetic patients have an increased risk of vertebral fractures independent of BMD or diabetic complications. J Bone Miner Res. 2009;24(4):702–709.
- Paschou SA, Dede AD, Anagnostis P, Vryonidou A, Morganstein D, Goulis DG. Type 2 diabetes and osteoporosis: a guide to optimal management. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2017;102(10):3621–3634.
- Lecka-Czernik B, Moerman EJ, Grant DF, Lehmann JM, Manolagas SC, Jilka RL. Divergent effects of selective peroxisome proliferator activated receptor-γ2 ligands on adipocyte versus osteoblast differentiation. Endocrinology. 2016;147(4):1834–1841.

This article may be cited as: Asim A, Abbasi SH, Begum S, Khan MA, Ramani RK, Fatima K: Radiological Evaluation of Bone Mineral Density in Diabetic Patients with Chronic Musculoskeletal Pain: A Cross-Sectional Study. Pak J Med Health Sci, 2023;17(11):419-422.