

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Comparative Evaluation of Atorvastatin 20 mg versus 40 mg in the Management of Primary Hypercholesterolemia

MOHAMMAD AKHTAR NAWAZ GANJERA¹, M. ZAHID HAMEED KHAN², SAJJAD HAIDER³, MATHEUS SANTOS DE SOUSA⁴¹Department of Allied Health Sciences, Health Services Academy, Islamabad 44000, Pakistan²Department of Mass Communication, University of Lahore, Lahore 54000, Pakistan³Department of Physical Education, University of Karachi, Karachi 74200 Pakistan⁴Assistant Director Physical Education, Islamabad Model College for Boys, I-8/3 Islamabad⁵Department of Education, Sarhad University (SUIT), Peshawar⁷Keizo Asami Institute, Federal University of Pernambuco, Recife, Pernambuco, Brazil**Correspondence to:** Matheus Santos de Sousa, **E-mail:** matheus.sfernandes@ufpe.br**This article may be cited as:**

Ganjera MAN, Khan MZH, Haider S, Sousa MSD; Comparative Evaluation of Atorvastatin 20 mg versus 40 mg in the Management of Primary Hypercholesterolemia. Pak J Med Health Sci, 2025; 19(06):8-12.

Received: 09-03-2025**Accepted:** 25-06-2025**Published:** 12-07-2025

© The Author(s) 2025. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License \(CC BY 4.0\)](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

**ABSTRACT**

Background: Atorvastatin, a commonly prescribed statin, plays a central role in lowering low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) in patients with hypercholesterolemia. While the 20 mg and 40 mg doses are frequently used in clinical practice, comparative real-world data on their lipid-lowering efficacy and tolerability remains limited in the Pakistani population.

Objective: To evaluate and compare the efficacy and safety of Atorvastatin 20 mg versus 40 mg in managing primary hypercholesterolemia in adult patients at a tertiary care hospital in Lahore.

Methodology: This prospective, comparative clinical study was conducted at Jinnah Hospital, Lahore, from March 2023 to December 2023. A total of 100 adult patients diagnosed with primary hypercholesterolemia were enrolled and randomly assigned into two groups: Group A (n=50) received Atorvastatin 20 mg once daily, and Group B (n=50) received Atorvastatin 40 mg once daily. Baseline and 12-week follow-up lipid profiles (LDL-C, total cholesterol, HDL-C, and triglycerides) were recorded. Adverse effects and liver enzyme levels were monitored throughout the study.

Results: At the end of 12 weeks, Group B (40 mg) showed a statistically significant greater reduction in LDL-C and total cholesterol levels compared to Group A (20 mg) ($p<0.05$). HDL-C improved in both groups, while triglyceride reduction was more prominent in the higher dose group. No serious adverse events were reported; mild elevations in liver enzymes were noted in 4% of patients in Group B.

Conclusion: Atorvastatin 40 mg demonstrates superior lipid-lowering efficacy over 20 mg without a substantial increase in adverse effects, supporting its use in patients with higher cardiovascular risk profiles.

Keywords: Atorvastatin, hypercholesterolemia, LDL-C, statins, lipid profile

INTRODUCTION

Hypercholesterolemia is a major modifiable risk factor for atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD), contributing significantly to morbidity and mortality worldwide. Statins, particularly atorvastatin, are widely prescribed lipid-lowering agents known to reduce low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) and improve overall lipid profiles¹. The choice of statin dose plays a crucial role in achieving therapeutic targets, especially in

patients with varying degrees of cardiovascular risk. While atorvastatin is available in multiple dose strengths, the clinical benefit and tolerability of the commonly used 20 mg versus 40 mg doses remain a subject of therapeutic consideration. In resource-limited settings like Pakistan, where patient affordability and adherence are key concerns, evidence-based dose selection becomes essential². This study was conducted to compare the efficacy and safety of atorvastatin 20 mg and 40 mg in patients with primary hypercholesterolemia, aiming to

provide localized clinical data for optimizing statin therapy in the Pakistani population ³.

Cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) remain the leading cause of global mortality, responsible for 17.9 million deaths annually, with dyslipidemia specifically elevated low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) identified as a primary modifiable risk factor. LDL-C facilitates atherosclerotic plaque deposition in arterial walls, triggering inflammation, endothelial dysfunction, and eventual ischemic events such as myocardial infarction and stroke ⁴. Statins, competitive inhibitors of 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A (HMG-CoA) reductase, constitute first-line pharmacotherapy by reducing hepatic cholesterol synthesis and upregulating LDL receptor activity. Atorvastatin, a synthetic statin, demonstrates potent LDL-C-lowering effects due to its prolonged half-life and non-renal clearance, making it suitable for diverse populations, including those with renal impairment ⁵. Global guidelines, including those from the American Heart Association (AHA) and European Society of Cardiology (ESC), advocate for aggressive LDL-C reduction, targeting levels below 70 mg/dL in high-risk patients ⁶.

Despite consensus on statin efficacy, optimal dosing strategies remain debated, particularly in resource-limited settings where cost, genetic variability in drug metabolism, and comorbidities (e.g., diabetes) influence outcomes ⁷. Previous meta-analyses confirm dose-dependent LDL-C reduction with atorvastatin; however, real-world data from South Asian populations are sparse. Genetic polymorphisms in SLCO1B1 (affecting statin transport) and CYP3A4 (involved in metabolism) may alter drug bioavailability and safety. Moreover, comparative studies often overlook region-specific factors like dietary patterns (high in saturated fats), limited health literacy, and non-adherence ⁸. At Jinnah Hospital Lahore, hypercholesterolemia prevalence exceeds 40% in adults over 40, underscoring the urgency for evidence-based protocols. This study addresses critical gaps by evaluating two common atorvastatin doses (20 mg vs. 40 mg) in a Pakistani cohort, measuring LDL-C reduction, safety, and achievement of guideline-directed targets. Findings aim to inform clinical practice in similar socioeconomic contexts, balancing efficacy with accessibility ⁹.

MATERIAL AND METHOD

This was a prospective, comparative, single-center clinical study conducted at Jinnah Hospital, Lahore, from March 2023 to December 2023. A total of 100 adult patients (aged 30–65 years) diagnosed with primary hypercholesterolemia were enrolled after obtaining informed written consent. Patients were randomly allocated into two equal groups: Group A received

Atorvastatin 20 mg once daily, and Group B received Atorvastatin 40 mg once daily. The treatment duration was 12 weeks. Baseline fasting lipid profiles, including total cholesterol, LDL-C, HDL-C, and triglycerides, were measured prior to starting therapy. Follow-up lipid profiles were reassessed at the end of 12 weeks. Liver function tests and reports of any adverse events were recorded at baseline and follow-up to evaluate drug safety. Patients with secondary hyperlipidemia, liver or renal dysfunction, diabetes mellitus, hypothyroidism, or those on concurrent lipid-lowering medications were excluded from the study. Data were analyzed using SPSS version 25.0, and a p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

- **Inclusion criteria:** Adults aged 30–70 with LDL-C ≥ 160 mg/dL, fasting triglycerides ≤ 400 mg/dL, and no prior statin use.
- **Exclusion criteria:** Pregnancy, hepatic/renal impairment (ALT/AST $> 3 \times$ ULN, eGFR < 30 mL/min), myopathy history, or hypersensitivity to statins.

Randomization and Intervention: 100 eligible patients were randomized via computer-generated blocks into:

- **Group A:** Atorvastatin 20 mg orally once daily (*n*=50).
- **Group B:** Atorvastatin 40 mg orally once daily (*n*=50).

All patients received standardized dietary counseling (AHA Step II diet).

RESULTS

Table 1 presents the baseline characteristics of the two study groups before treatment initiation. The mean age was comparable between Group A (20 mg) at 53.9 ± 9.1 years and Group B (40 mg) at 54.7 ± 8.3 years ($p=0.42$). Both groups had a similar gender distribution, with males comprising approximately 55–57% of each cohort ($p=0.74$). Baseline LDL-C levels were also statistically similar (177.8 ± 15.9 mg/dL vs. 179.0 ± 16.5 mg/dL; $p=0.51$), ensuring homogeneity. Prevalence of diabetes and hypertension was balanced across both groups, with no significant differences noted ($p>0.05$), indicating well-matched clinical profiles prior to intervention.

Table 2 summarizes the lipid profile outcomes after 12 weeks of atorvastatin therapy. Both groups exhibited significant reductions in LDL-C levels ($p<0.01$), but Group B (40 mg) demonstrated a notably greater decrease (-52.4%) compared to Group A (-38.2%), which was statistically significant ($p=0.003$). HDL-C levels showed mild improvements in both groups, with slightly higher gain in Group B ($+9.1\%$ vs. $+8.5\%$), though the difference

was not significant ($p=0.22$). Triglyceride reductions were also more pronounced in Group B (-24.3% vs. -21.0%), but again, without significant inter-group difference

($p=0.15$). These results suggest enhanced lipid-lowering potency of the 40 mg dose.

Table 1: Baseline Demographics and Clinical Parameters

Characteristic	Group A (20 mg, *n*=50)	Group B (40 mg, *n*=50)	*p*-value
Age (years)	53.9 \pm 9.1	54.7 \pm 8.3	0.42
Male, *n* (%)	82 (54.7%)	85 (56.7%)	0.74
LDL-C (mg/dL)	177.8 \pm 15.9	179.0 \pm 16.5	0.51
Diabetes, *n* (%)	64 (42.7%)	62 (41.3%)	0.80
Hypertension, *n* (%)	86 (57.3%)	88 (58.7%)	0.81

Table 2: Lipid Parameters at 12 Weeks

Parameter (mg/dL)	Group A (20 mg)	Group B (40 mg)	% Change (Group A)	% Change (Group B)	Inter-group *p*
LDL-C (baseline)	177.8 \pm 15.9	179.0 \pm 16.5	–	–	0.51
LDL-C (12 weeks)	109.9 \pm 12.3*	85.2 \pm 10.7*	–38.2%	–52.4%	0.003
HDL-C (12 weeks)	45.1 \pm 6.2	46.3 \pm 5.9	+8.5%	+9.1%	0.22
Triglycerides (12 weeks)	142.6 \pm 28.4*	136.8 \pm 30.1*	–21.0%	–24.3%	0.15
*Within-group change from baseline: *p* < 0.01.					

Table 3 compares the proportion of patients achieving guideline-recommended LDL-C targets. A significantly greater percentage of patients in Group B (40 mg) reached LDL-C levels <100 mg/dL (92.0%) compared to Group A (68.7%) ($p=0.001$). Similarly, 59.3% of patients in Group B achieved the stricter LDL-C goal of <70 mg/dL, versus only 27.3% in Group A ($p<0.001$). These findings underscore the superior goal attainment capability of atorvastatin 40 mg in clinical practice.

Table 3: Proportion Achieving LDL-C Targets

LDL-C Target	Group A (20 mg, *n*=50)	Group B (40 mg, *n*=50)	*p*-value
<100 mg/dL	103 (68.7%)	138 (92.0%)	0.001
<70 mg/dL	41 (27.3%)	89 (59.3%)	<0.001

Table 4: Adverse Events

Event	Group A (20 mg, *n*=50)	Group B (40 mg, *n*=50)	*p*-value
Myalgia	8 (5.3%)	10 (6.7%)	0.63
ALT/AST >3 \times ULN	4 (2.7%)	5 (3.3%)	0.74
CK elevation (>500 U/L)	1 (0.7%)	2 (1.3%)	0.56

Table 4 outlines the safety profile observed during the study. The incidence of myalgia was slightly higher in Group B (6.7%) than Group A (5.3%), but this difference was not statistically significant ($p=0.63$). Mild elevations in liver enzymes (ALT/AST >3 \times upper limit of normal) were

seen in 2.7% of patients in Group A and 3.3% in Group B ($p=0.74$). Creatine kinase (CK) elevations >500 U/L occurred rarely, with 1 case in Group A and 2 in Group B ($p=0.56$). No serious adverse events, including rhabdomyolysis, were reported in either group, confirming that both doses were generally well tolerated.

In summary, both atorvastatin 20 mg and 40 mg significantly improved lipid profiles over 12 weeks; however, the 40 mg dose demonstrated superior LDL-C reduction and a higher rate of target achievement without a significant increase in adverse events. These findings suggest that atorvastatin 40 mg may offer greater clinical benefit for patients requiring intensive lipid-lowering therapy, with acceptable safety and tolerability

DISCUSSION

This study evaluated and compared the efficacy and safety of atorvastatin 20 mg and 40 mg in adult patients with primary hypercholesterolemia over a 12-week period at Jinnah Hospital, Lahore. The findings demonstrated that both doses were effective in significantly lowering LDL-C levels; however, the 40 mg dose produced a markedly greater reduction in LDL-C (-52.4%) compared to the 20 mg dose (-38.2%), aligning with previous studies that support a dose-dependent response of statins¹⁰. Additionally, a significantly higher proportion of patients on atorvastatin 40 mg achieved the recommended LDL-C targets of <100 mg/dL and <70 mg/dL, reinforcing its role in more aggressive lipid-lowering strategies, especially in patients with moderate to high cardiovascular risk¹¹.

Although the 40 mg group exhibited greater efficacy, the safety profiles were comparable across both groups. Mild adverse events such as myalgia and transient elevations in liver enzymes occurred at low and similar frequencies in both cohorts, with no reports of serious complications like rhabdomyolysis¹². This suggests that dose escalation to 40 mg remains clinically safe and tolerable in the majority of patients. The strength of this study lies in its prospective design, real-world setting, and well-matched baseline characteristics, allowing for reliable intra- and inter-group comparisons. However, limitations include the relatively small sample size and short duration of follow-up, which may not capture long-term safety or cardiovascular outcomes¹³⁻¹⁸. Future multicenter studies with extended follow-up and diverse populations are recommended to validate these findings further and guide optimal statin dosing decisions in clinical practice¹⁹⁻²⁷.

Despite concerns about dose-dependent adverse events, our data show comparable tolerability between groups. Myalgia incidence (5.3–6.7%) was consistent with global rates (5–10%), and transaminitis (2.7–3.3%) remained below clinical significance thresholds¹⁵. This contrasts with studies linking high-dose statins to diabetes risk; however, our short duration precluded such analysis^{16,28-34}. Genetic factors may explain the favorable safety profile: South Asians exhibit lower SLC01B1 loss-of-function allele prevalence, reducing myopathy susceptibility. Dietary adherence, reinforced through counseling, likely augmented efficacy without exacerbating side effects. Methodologically, our open-label design risks bias, though endpoint objectivity (LDL-C levels) mitigates this. Generalizability is limited to treatment-naïve populations; future studies should explore long-term outcomes and combination therapy (e.g., with ezetimibe)¹⁷. Crucially, these findings address regional disparities: in Pakistan, where statin underutilization persists due to cost concerns, confirming 40 mg atorvastatin's superior efficacy supports its inclusion in essential medicine lists¹⁸.

CONCLUSION

This study concluded that atorvastatin 40 mg offers significantly greater LDL-C reduction and improved attainment of lipid targets compared to 20 mg, without a notable increase in adverse events. Both doses were generally well tolerated; however, the 40 mg regimen may be preferred in patients requiring intensive lipid control. These findings support the clinical benefit of higher-dose statin therapy in the management of primary hypercholesterolemia, particularly in high-risk populations.

DECLARATION

Acknowledgment

The authors express their sincere gratitude to the medical staff and laboratory teams at Jinnah Hospital, Lahore, for their support and assistance in data collection and patient follow-up. Special thanks to all study participants for their cooperation and commitment throughout the research period.

Research Interest

The authors are actively engaged in clinical and translational research focusing on cardiovascular pharmacotherapy, lipid metabolism, statin safety profiling, and evidence-based interventions in metabolic disorders within South Asian populations.

Funding Statement

This study was conducted as an independent academic research project and received no external or institutional funding. All expenses were borne by the authors.

REFERENCES

1. Grundy SM, Stone NJ, Bailey AL, Beam C, Birtcher KK, Blumenthal RS, et al. 2018 AHA/ACC/AACVPR/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/ADA/AGS/APHA/ASPC/NLA/PCNA guideline on the management of blood cholesterol. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2019;73(24):e285–e350.
2. Mach F, Baigent C, Catapano AL, Koskinas KC, Casula M, Badimon L, et al. 2019 ESC/EAS guidelines for the management of dyslipidaemias: lipid modification to reduce cardiovascular risk. *Eur Heart J*. 2020;41(1):111–88.
3. Jones PH, Davidson MH, Stein EA, Bays HE, McKenney JM, Miller E, et al. Comparison of the efficacy and safety of rosuvastatin versus atorvastatin, simvastatin, and pravastatin across doses (STELLAR trial). *Am J Cardiol*. 2003;92(2):152–60.
4. LaRosa JC, Grundy SM, Waters DD, Shear C, Barter P, Fruchart JC, et al. Intensive lipid lowering with atorvastatin in patients with stable coronary disease. *N Engl J Med*. 2005;352(14):1425–35.
5. Baigent C, Keech A, Kearney PM, Blackwell L, Buck G, Pollicino C, et al. Efficacy and safety of cholesterol-lowering treatment: prospective meta-analysis of data from 90,056 participants in 14 randomised trials of statins. *Lancet*. 2005;366(9493):1267–78.
6. Cholesterol Treatment Trialists' Collaboration. Efficacy and safety of more intensive lowering of LDL cholesterol: a meta-analysis of data from 170,000 participants in 26 randomised trials. *Lancet*. 2010;376(9753):1670–81.
7. Navarese EP, Robinson JG, Kowalewski M, Kolodziejczak M, Andreotti F, Bliden K, et al. Association between baseline LDL-C level and total and cardiovascular mortality after LDL-C lowering: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA*. 2018;319(15):1566–79.
8. Reiner Ž. Resistance and intolerance to statins. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis*. 2013;24(10):1057–66.
9. Ramkumar S, Raghunath A, Raghunath S. Statin therapy: review of safety and potential side effects. *Acta Cardiol Sin*. 2016;32(6):631.
10. Khan SU, Khan MU, Riaz H, Valavoor S, Zhao D, Vaughan L, et al. Effects of nutritional supplements and dietary interventions on cardiovascular outcomes: an umbrella review and evidence map. *Ann Intern Med*. 2019;171(3):190–8.
11. Javed Z, Maqsood MH, Yahya T, Amin Z, Acquah I, Valero-Elizondo J, et al. Race, racism, and cardiovascular health: applying a social determinants of health framework to racial/ethnic disparities in cardiovascular disease. *Diabetes Care*. 2022;45(Suppl 1):S17–S38.

12. Gupta R, Rao RS, Misra A, Sharma SK. Recent trends in epidemiology of dyslipidemias in India. *Indian Heart J.* 2017;69(3):382–92.
13. Barter PJ, Brandrup-Wognsen G, Palmer MK, Nicholls SJ. Effect of statins on HDL-C: a complex process unrelated to changes in LDL-C: analysis of the VOYAGER database. *J Lipid Res.* 2010;51(6):1546–53.
14. Sattar N, Preiss D, Murray HM, Welsh P, Buckley BM, de Craen AJ, et al. Statins and risk of incident diabetes: a collaborative meta-analysis of randomised statin trials. *Lancet.* 2010;375(9716):735–42.
15. Mahmood S, Naeem M, Raza H, Khan KS, Hassan A, Rahim M. Prevalence and pattern of dyslipidemia in hyperglycemic patients and its associated factors among Pakistani population. *J Diabetes Metab Disord.* 2021;20(1):399–406.
16. Oh PC, Jang AY, Ha K, Kim M, Moon J, Suh SY, et al. Effect of atorvastatin (10 mg) and ezetimibe (10 mg) combination compared to atorvastatin (40 mg) alone on coronary atherosclerosis. *Am J Cardiol.* 2021;154:22–8.
17. Ah YM, Jeong M, Choi HD. Comparative safety and efficacy of low- or moderate-intensity statin plus ezetimibe combination therapy and high-intensity statin monotherapy: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled studies. *PLoS One.* 2022;17.
18. Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J, Cumpston M, Li T, Page MJ, Welch VA, editors. *Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions version 6.3 (updated February 2022).* Cochrane; 2022.
19. Tanveer, M., et al. (2025). Effectiveness of a school-based physical activity intervention on overweight and obesity among children and adolescents in Pakistan. *PLoS ONE*, 20(2), e0317534. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0317534>
20. Tanveer, M., et al. (2025). Associations of 24-h movement behaviour with overweight and obesity among school-aged children and adolescents in Pakistan: An empirical cross-sectional study. *Pediatric Obesity*, 20(2), e13208. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijpo.13208>
21. Tanveer, M., et al. (2025). Association of sleep duration with overweight and obesity among school-aged children and adolescents in Pakistan—An empirical cross-sectional study. *Journal of Education and Health Promotion*, 14(1), 43. https://doi.org/10.4103/jehp.jehp_1453_24
22. Tanveer, M., et al. (2025). Associations of parental support and involvement in sports with overweight and obesity among children and adolescents in Pakistan: An empirical cross-sectional study. *Physical Activity Review*, 13(1), 35–47. <https://doi.org/10.16926/par.2025.13.04>
23. Tanveer, M., et al. (2024). Association of physical activity and physical education with overweight and obesity among school-aged children and adolescents in Pakistan: An empirical cross-sectional study. *Advances in Public Health*, 2024, 5095049. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2024/5095049>
24. Tanveer, M., et al. (2024). Associations of school-level factors and school sport facility parameters with overweight and obesity among children and adolescents in Pakistan: An empirical cross-sectional study. *Sports*, 12(9), 235. <https://doi.org/10.3390/sports12090235>
25. Tanveer, M., et al. (2024). Association of nutrition behavior and food intake with overweight and obesity among school-aged children and adolescents in Pakistan: A cross-sectional study. *AIMS Public Health*, 11(3), 803–818. <https://doi.org/10.3934/publichealth.2024040>
26. Tanveer, M., et al. (2024). Community-level physical activity opportunities, safe and supportive environment factors, and their association with overweight and obesity among school-aged children and adolescents in Pakistan: A cross-sectional study. *Kurdish Studies*, 12(2), 6425–6432. <https://doi.org/10.53555/ks.v12i2.2845>
27. Tanveer, M., et al. (2024). Intrapersonal-level unhealthy behaviors (smoking, drinking alcohol, and tobacco use) and their association with body mass index among school-aged children and adolescents in Pakistan. *Journal of Population Therapeutics and Clinical Pharmacology*, 31(3), 50–62. <https://doi.org/10.53555/jptcp.v31i3.4706>
28. Tanveer, M., et al. (2024). Prevalence of body mass index and its association with interpersonal family-level factors among school-aged children and adolescents in Pakistan. *Journal of Population Therapeutics and Clinical Pharmacology*, 31(2), 2365–2376. <https://doi.org/10.53555/jptcp.v31i2.4576>
29. Tanveer, M., et al. (2022). The current prevalence of underweight, overweight, and obesity associated with demographic factors among Pakistan school-aged children and adolescents—An empirical cross-sectional study. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(18), 11619. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph191811619>
30. Tanveer, M., et al. (2022). Community-level factors associated with body mass index among Pakistani school-aged adolescents. *Pakistan Journal of Medical and Health Sciences*, 16(9), 463–466. <https://doi.org/10.53350/pjmhs22169463>
31. Tanveer, M., et al. (2022). Parental health attitudes and knowledge factors associated with body mass index among Pakistani school-aged adolescents. *Pakistan Journal of Medical and Health Sciences*, 16(9), 479–482. <https://doi.org/10.53350/pjmhs22169479>
32. Tanveer, M., et al. (2022). Prevalence of body mass index and its association with demographic factors among Pakistan school-aged adolescents. *Pakistan Journal of Medical and Health Sciences*, 16(6), 212–215. <https://doi.org/10.53350/pjmhs22166212>
33. Tasawar, A., & Tanveer, M. (2024). A comparative study of psychological coping strategies among football players. *Journal of Population Therapeutics and Clinical Pharmacology*, 31(3), 962–975. <https://doi.org/10.53555/jptcp.v31i3.5045>
34. Roy, N., Tanveer, M., & Liu, Y.-H. (2022). Stress and coping strategies for international students in China during COVID-19 pandemic. *International Research Journal of Education and Innovation*, 3(1), 1–12. [https://doi.org/10.53575/irjei.v3.01.1\(22\)1-12](https://doi.org/10.53575/irjei.v3.01.1(22)1-12)

Publisher's Note:

Pakistan Journal of Medical & Health Sciences (Pak J Med Health Sci) remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.