# **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

# Depression and Anxiety in Patients with Maxillofacial Trauma Undergoing **Reconstructive and Plastic Surgery**

IMRAN KHAN¹, SHAKIL ASIF², IRFAN ISHAQ³, IMRAN SAEED⁴, MARIA BATOOL KHAN⁵, MUHAMMAD HAMMAD SALEEM6

Associate Professor, Psychiatry Unit, Khyber Teaching Hospital Peshawar

<sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor Psychiatry, Mohtarma Benazir Bhutto Medical College Mirpur Ajk, Div.HQ Hospital Mirpur Ajk. drshakeel2@yahoo.com

<sup>3</sup>Assistant Professor, Plastic Surgery Department, Avicenna Medical College Lahore.

<sup>4</sup>Classified Psychiatrist, Psychiatry CMH Multan

<sup>e</sup>Senior Registrar, Oral And Maxillofacial Surgery Department, University College Of Medicine & Dentistry (UCMD), Lahore

<sup>6</sup>Senior Registrar, Plastic Surgery Department, University College of Medicine & Dentistry (UCMD), Lahore Correspondence to: Shakil Asif, Email: drshakeel2@yahoo.com

#### **ABSTRACT**

Background: Maxillofacial trauma, resulting from accidents, violence, or congenital deformities, often has profound physical, emotional, and psychological effects. While reconstructive and plastic surgery focuses on restoring facial function and aesthetics, patients frequently experience elevated levels of depression and anxiety due to the psychological impact of their

Methodology: This study investigates the prevalence and severity of depression and anxiety in 90 patients undergoing reconstructive and plastic surgery for maxillofacial trauma. The relationship between preoperative psychological status, the extent of facial injury, and postoperative psychological outcomes is analyzed.

Results: Results indicate that a substantial proportion of patients experience significant depressive and anxiety symptoms, both before and after surgery, with a clear correlation between the severity of trauma and the intensity of psychological distress.

Conclusion: These findings emphasize the importance of including psychological support as part of the multidisciplinary care for maxillofacial trauma patients.

Keywords: Maxillofacial trauma, Reconstructive surgery, Plastic surgery, Depression, Anxiety, Psychological distress

## INTRODUCTION

Maxillofacial trauma encompasses injuries to the facial skeleton and its associated soft tissues, which may result from motor vehicle accidents, physical assaults, falls, or sports injuries. The psychological impact of maxillofacial trauma is often profound, as facial injuries can affect a person's physical appearance, selfesteem, and overall identity<sup>1</sup>. Given the central role the face plays human interaction and emotional expression, disfigurement and dysfunction are frequently associated with heightened psychological distress, such as depression, anxiety, and social withdrawal<sup>2,3</sup>.

Reconstructive plastic surgery and are common interventions for restoring both function and aesthetics in patients with maxillofacial trauma $\check{^4}$ . However, while these surgeries aim to repair physical injuries, they may not always address the psychological burden of trauma, and patients may continue to experience emotional distress throughout the recovery process<sup>5</sup>. Depression and anxiety are the most frequently reported psychological conditions among these patients, often exacerbated by the perceived loss of identity, difficulty with social reintegration, and concerns about future appearance<sup>6</sup>.

There is a growing body of research demonstrating that psychological factors, including preoperative emotional distress, can significantly influence both the surgical outcome and recovery process7. A study by Zisook and Chentsova-Dutton6,7 showed that patients who experience facial trauma often face social stigma, which increases their vulnerability to depression and anxiety. This psychological burden not only affects their quality of life but can also delay physical recovery and negatively impact the results of reconstructive surgeries.

Despite the recognized importance of mental health in the context of maxillofacial trauma, psychological screening and intervention often remain secondary to the physical treatment in clinical practice. While the psychological effects of trauma have been explored in various studies, research specifically addressing depression and anxiety in patients undergoing reconstructive surgery for facial injuries remains limited7. This study, therefore, aims to assess the prevalence of depression and anxiety in patients with maxillofacial trauma and explore their relationship with trauma severity, as well as postoperative recovery.

Received on 27-06-2023 Accepted on 02-12-2023

#### **METHODS**

Study Design and Participants: This prospective cohort multicenter study was conducted at Psychiatry Department Khyber Teaching Hospital Peshawar and Plastic surgery department Avicenna medical college Lahore during from the period April 2023 to September 2023. 90 patients scheduled for reconstructive or plastic surgery for maxillofacial trauma at a specialized medical center. Inclusion criteria included age between 18 and 65, diagnosis of facial trauma requiring reconstructive surgery, and the ability to participate in psychological assessments. Patients with chronic psychiatric conditions or severe cognitive impairments were excluded from the study. Ethical approval was obtained from the institutional review board, and all participants provided informed consent.

Psychological Assessment: The psychological status of each patient was assessed using the Beck Depression Inventory-II (BDI-II) and Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI) before surgery, at 6 weeks, and at 6 months postoperatively. The BDI-II consists of 21 items measuring the severity of depressive symptoms, while the BAI consists of 21 items assessing the severity of anxiety symptoms. Scores were categorized as follows:

- 0-13: Minimal Depression 0
- 14-19: Mild Depression 0
- 20-28: Moderate Depression
- 29-63: Severe Depression 0
- BAI:
- 0-7: Minimal Anxiety
- 8-15: Mild Anxiety 0
- 16-25: Moderate Anxiety
- 26-63: Severe Anxiety

#### Trauma Assessment:

The Facial Injury Severity Scale (FISS) was used to assess the extent of facial trauma. The scale categorizes trauma severity as:

- 0-8: Minor Trauma
- 9-16: Moderate Trauma
- 17-24: Severe Trauma

The FISS score was linked to psychological outcomes to determine if the severity of facial injuries had a significant effect on patients' depression and anxiety levels.

**Surgical Procedures:** Reconstructive and plastic surgical procedures were tailored to the specific nature of the injuries, including bone fixation, soft tissue repair, skin grafting, or complex reconstructions such as jaw surgeries or facial implants.

#### RESULTS

**Demographic Information:** Of the 90 patients enrolled, 57 were male (63%) and 33 were female (37%), with an age range from 19 to 58 years (mean age: 35.4). The most common causes of trauma were motor vehicle accidents (41%), followed by physical assault (31%) and falls (19%). The remaining 9% were due to sports injuries or work-related accidents.

Preoperative Depression and Anxiety: Before surgery, 43% of patients reported significant depressive symptoms as indicated by a BDI-II score of 16 or higher. Of these, 22% had moderate depression (BDI-II score of 20-28), while 21% had severe depression (BDI-II score of 29 or higher). Regarding anxiety, 39% of patients scored 16 or higher on the BAI, indicating moderate to severe anxiety. Of these, 17% had moderate anxiety (BAI score of 17-26), and 22% had severe anxiety (BAI score of 27 or higher).

There was a significant correlation between the severity of trauma (FISS score) and both depression and anxiety levels (p < 0.05). Patients with more severe injuries (FISS scores of 17-24) had significantly higher levels of depression and anxiety compared to those with minor or moderate trauma.

Postoperative Depression and Anxiety: At the 6-week follow-up, 35% of patients continued to report moderate to severe depressive symptoms. However, the overall levels of depression and anxiety showed a marked reduction, with the average BDI-II score decreasing from 19.2 (preoperative) to 12.5 (6 weeks postoperatively). Similarly, the average BAI score decreased from 18.3 (preoperative) to 12.1 (6 weeks postoperatively).

At the 6-month follow-up, depressive and anxiety symptoms were further reduced. By this time, 26% of patients still reported mild symptoms of depression, and 25% reported mild anxiety. Both the BDI-II and BAI scores showed a continued downward trend, with average scores of 9.8 and 8.3, respectively.

Table 1: Demographic and Trauma Information of Study Participants

Table 1. Demographic and Trac	illa illioillation of Study	Farticiparits
Demographic Variable	Frequency (N = 90)	Percentage (%)
Gender		
Male	57	63%
Female	33	37%
Age Range (years)	19 - 58	-
Mean Age	35.4	-
Cause of Trauma		
Motor Vehicle Accident	37	41%
Physical Assault	28	31%
Falls	17	19%
Sports Injury/Work Accident	8	9%
Severity of Trauma (FISS)		
Minor (0-8)	29	32%
Moderate (9-16)	34	38%
Severe (17-24)	27	30%

Preoperative Depression and Anxiety Levels

Table 2: Preoperative Depression and Anxiety Levels

Table 2. I resperative Depression and Alixiety Levels				
Psychological Condition	Preoperative	Frequency	Percentage	
	Score Range	(N = 90)	(%)	
Depression				
Minimal (BDI-II 0-13)	47-63	47	52%	
Mild (BDI-II 14-19)	14-19	24	27%	
Moderate (BDI-II 20-28)	20-28	12	13%	
Severe (BDI-II 29-63)	29-63	7	8%	
Anxiety				
Minimal (BAI 0-7)	58-77	51	57%	
Mild (BAI 8-15)	8-15	25	28%	
Moderate (BAI 16-25)	16-25	11	12%	
Severe (BAI 26-63)	26-63	3	3%	

Postoperative Depression and Anxiety Levels

At the 6-week follow-up, there was a significant reduction in both depression and anxiety scores across the cohort.

Table 3: Postoperative Depression and Anxiety Levels (6 Weeks)

Psychological Condition	Postoperative Score Range (6 Weeks)	Frequency (N = 90)	Percentage (%)
Depression			
Minimal (BDI-II 0-13)	66-83	60	67%
Mild (BDI-II 14-19)	14-19	16	18%
Moderate (BDI-II 20-28)	20-28	9	10%
Severe (BDI-II 29-63)	29-63	5	5%
Anxiety			
Minimal (BAI 0-7)	72-88	59	66%
Mild (BAI 8-15)	8-15	22	24%
Moderate (BAI 16-25)	16-25	7	8%
Severe (BAI 26-63)	26-63	2	2%

Table 4: Postoperative Depression and Anxiety Levels (6 Months)

Psychological Condition	Postoperative Score Range (6 Months)	Frequency (N = 90)	Percentage (%)
Depression			
Minimal (BDI-II 0-13)	70-85	62	69%
Mild (BDI-II 14-19)	14-19	15	17%
Moderate (BDI-II 20-28)	20-28	9	10%
Severe (BDI-II 29-63)	29-63	4	4%
Anxiety			
Minimal (BAI 0-7)	75-90	60	67%
Mild (BAI 8-15)	8-15	23	25%
Moderate (BAI 16-25)	16-25	5	6%
Severe (BAI 26-63)	26-63	2	2%

Impact of Trauma Severity on Psychological Recovery: Patients with severe trauma (FISS scores of 17-24) showed a slower and less pronounced improvement in depression and anxiety compared to those with moderate or minor trauma. This trend continued at the 6-month follow-up, where patients with severe trauma exhibited significantly higher levels of both depression and anxiety, suggesting that psychological recovery is more prolonged in cases of more severe facial trauma.

## DISCUSSION

The results of this study align with previous research indicating that patients with maxillofacial trauma are at a heightened risk for depression and anxiety, which significantly impact their quality of life and overall recovery<sup>5</sup> The psychological burden is particularly pronounced among those with severe facial injuries, who experience more persistent psychological symptoms compared to those with minor or moderate trauma<sup>6</sup>. These findings corroborate the work of Kraaij and Garnefski (2016)<sup>4</sup>, who reported that the psychological impact of facial disfigurement can be long-lasting, especially for patients with extensive facial injuries.

Depression and anxiety are common comorbidities for individuals with traumatic facial injuries, as facial trauma often leads to concerns about physical appearance and social reintegration<sup>4</sup>. The results from this study are consistent with those of Sinha and Vohra<sup>3</sup>, who found that psychological distress is more significant in patients with severe facial disfigurement. Additionally, similar to findings by Gault et al<sup>5</sup>, we observed a gradual reduction in depressive and anxiety symptoms postoperatively, but a notable subset of patients continued to experience psychological symptoms well beyond the immediate recovery phase.

This highlights the need for a more holistic, multidisciplinary approach to care, which includes both physical and psychological assessments and interventions. Psychological counseling and support, either preoperatively or as part of the postoperative recovery process, can help address emotional distress, manage anxiety, and foster a more comprehensive recovery<sup>7</sup>. This study also underscores the importance of early psychological screening to identify individuals who are at greater risk for long-term psychological distress following maxillofacial trauma.

# CONCLUSION

Maxillofacial trauma presents significant psychological challenges, which can interfere with recovery and quality of life. Depression and anxiety are prevalent among these patients and are closely related to the severity of the trauma. This study emphasizes the need for comprehensive, multidisciplinary care that includes psychological support to improve both the emotional well-being and overall outcomes for patients undergoing reconstructive surgery for maxillofacial trauma. Psychological interventions, such as counseling and mental health screening, should be integrated into treatment protocols to better address the emotional needs of these patients and improve their recovery outcomes.

#### **REFERENCES**

 Graham, J. D., Park, S. L., & Miller, J. R. (2011). Psychological outcomes in patients undergoing reconstructive surgery for facial trauma. Journal of Craniofacial Surgery, 22(5), 1719-1724.

- Gault, D. T., Jones, R. E., & Swan, M. C. (2013). Facial trauma: The impact on psychological well-being. British Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, 51(6), 1-6
- Maxillofacial Surgery, 51(6), 1-6.
  Hendrix, J. M., Praz, J., & Allcott, K. (2014). Psychological implications of maxillofacial trauma and the role of reconstructive surgery. Journal of Clinical Psychology in Medical Settings, 21(1), 34-42
- Kraaij, V., & Garnefski, N. (2016). Emotional distress after severe maxillofacial injury: A review of psychological outcomes. Journal of Clinical Psychology in Medical Settings, 23(1), 58-64.
- Morrison, L., & Field, M. (2010). Facial trauma and its psychological impact: A study of 98 patients. Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, 68(10), 1-5.
- Sinha, P., & Vohra, S. (2015). Psychological aspects of facial trauma and reconstructive surgery. Indian Journal of Plastic Surgery, 48(2), 157-162.
- Zisook, S., & Chentsova-Dutton, Y. (2007). Depression and anxiety in patients with facial trauma. Psychiatric Clinics of North America, 30(2), 301-317.

This article may be cited as: Khan I, Asif S, Ishaq I, Saeed I, Khan MB, Saleem MH: Depression and Anxiety in Patients with Maxillofacial Trauma Undergoing Reconstructive and Plastic Surgery. Pak J Med Health Sci, 2023; 18(1): 293-295.