ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Inadequate Care During the Management of Type-2 Diabetes Mellitus: Prevalence and Associated Characteristics

SYED BAKHT RUIDAR¹, ZAINAB RIZWAN², AMIR BASHIR³, NADIYA KHAN⁴, MUHAMMAD SAJID KHAN⁵, YASIR MANSOOR⁶ ¹Endocrinologist, Tehsil Headquarter Hospital Wari, Dir Upper

²Assistant Professor of Medicine, Fazaia Medical College Islamabad

³MRCP, SCE Endocrine and Diabetes, Internal Medicine Consultant Buch Villas International Hospital Multan

⁴Demonstrator, Ameer-ud-Din Medical College/PGMI Lahore

⁵Associate Professor of Physiology, Shahida Islam Medical College Lodhran, Punjab

⁶Healthcare Administrator, Department of Public Health, Health Services Academy Islamabad

Corresponding author: Zainab Rizwan, Email: rnbutt @ yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

Objective: The purpose of this study is to provide light on the reasons for and outcomes of inadequate treatment for type 2 diabetes.

Study Design: Retrospective study

Place and Duration: This Retrospective study was conducted at Fazaia Medical College Islamabad in the period from May, 2022 to October, 2022.

Methods: Total 178 patients of T2 diabetes mellitus were presented. Age of the included cases were between 20-70 years. Informed written consent was obtained from all participants before to recording their demographic information, which included details such as comorbidities, body mass index (BMI), age, and smoking status. Lifestyle management, vaccination, pharmaceutical therapy, laboratory assessment, and physical examination are the five areas used to define inadequate care. SPSS 22.0 was used to analyze all data.

Results: In all, ninety-eight (55.1%) cases were males and eighty (44.9%) cases were females. The mean age among patients was 53.13±5.44 years having mean BMI 26.11±6.76 kg/m². Majority of the cases 78 (43.8%) were had poor socio-economic status. 45 (25.3%) cases were smokers and HTN was found in 76 (42.7%) cases. Frequency of inadequate life style management 44 (24.7%), inadequate immunization 60 (33.7%), inadequate pharmacological therapy 80 (44.9%), inadequate physical examination 72 (40.4%) and inadequate laboratory tests were 48 (26.96%). Overall inadequate care was found in 135 (75.8%) cases.

Conclusion: Treatment of type 2 diabetes is plagued by widespread problems due to inadequate care. It was shown that over 75.8% of people experienced at least one form of subpar care out of a possible five. Systematic, medical professional, and individual variables were all linked to subpar care delivery.

Keyboards: T2 DM, Adherence and Compliance, Diabetes Care

INTRODUCTION

With an estimated diagnosis of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM) in one in eleven persons in the United States, this condition is guite common. [1] T2DM is also a crippling chronic condition that requires meticulous control since ineffective management can lead to serious consequences from diabetes. [2] When T2DM is poorly controlled, there is a risk for both microvascular consequences (neuropathy, nephropathy, and retinopathy) and macrovascular problems (stroke, heart disease, and amputation). [2] So, a crucial component of effective T2DM care is monitoring and managing complications caused by diabetes. [3] In its yearly revised Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes, the American Diabetes Association (ADA) offers recommendations for effectively monitoring and treating T2DM. [3] In order to prevent the disease state from getting worse, ADA guidelines encourage strict management of T2DM. This requires a rigorous yearly routine that includes treating diabetes-related complications, prescribing antihyperglycemic medications appropriately, making lifestyle changes, and getting regular screenings and preventative care. [3]

When these racial/ethnic minorities live in rural areas, where access to health care is still a problem, the health inequalities that Native Hawaiians and Filipinos experience are further aggravated. [4] In reality, just 17% of Americans live in rural areas, compared to a third of the population of the state of Hawaii. [5] In Hawai'i's rural counties, there are more diabetes patients per doctor than in Honolulu County. [6] Due to their restricted access to non-urgent preventive treatment, such as diabetes self-management, rural individuals are more susceptible to developing significant and fatal diabetes-related complications. In the end, these difficulties could lead to a population that is generally less healthy and may need more tertiary care services, which would cost the health care system more money. [7]

Good glycemic management has the ability to limit both the microvascular and macrovascular consequences of diabetes,

according to prior research. [8] Nevertheless, between 40% and 60% of individuals globally still have poorly managed diabetes. [9] High glycemic control is challenging to achieve, and previous research has found that a variety of factors, including patients' age, gender, education level, weight, smoking status, marital status, length of diabetes, medications taken, and a host of other factors, can affect how well they manage their blood sugar. [10] It has proven difficult to determine which of these characteristics is most closely related to inadequate glycemic control, though. This is due to the fact that the earlier results are contradictory and have also shown that glycemic control and the factors affecting it varied between nations and between various ethnic groups. [11,12] In order to enhance diabetes care, it is clear that a greater knowledge of the variables impacting glycemic control is necessary. [13]

The main result of T2DM progression is recognised to be chronic problems, which lower patient quality of life, place a significant financial burden on the healthcare system, and raise the death rate for diabetics [14]. After accounting for age, those with T2DM have a mortality rate that is almost double that of people without the disease. Cardiovascular disease is the major cause of mortality for 50–80% of all diabetics, with cerebrovascular disease and renal failure also ranking highly. Diabetes often results in permanent handicap, with late complications being the main contributors to disability. Retinopathy, a kind of diabetic eye disease, is now one of the main causes of blindness in the globe. Furthermore, according to clinical epidemiologic research, foot ulcers occur before more than 85% of non-traumatic lower extremity amputations (LEAs) in diabetics[15].

Today, a wide range of treatment modalities, in particular pharmaceutical medications, are accessible, enabling doctors to fulfil the advised treatment objectives in virtually all patients [7]. The majority of patients, however, do not really meet the suggested treatment goals, whether they are those for the HbA1c level, blood pressure, or cholesterol levels. Even while some recent research showed that a very low HbA1c level might not be advantageous for all patients, the "evidence-performance-gap," which describes the gap between data from big clinical trials and the everyday performance in real life, is noteworthy. Patients with diabetes unquestionably benefit most from proper blood sugar, blood pressure, and cholesterol level management [10].

Numerous distinct parts or procedures of care might be included in inadequate care, which can have negative effects. The failure to administer the proper medicine is among the most prevalent and severe indicators of subpar treatment. Up to 80% of heart attacks and strokes, for instance, are thought to result from failing to start or strengthen therapy in accordance with evidencebased recommendations. But having the proper medicine is only one aspect of receiving subpar treatment. Patients with chronic diseases in particular require a complicated, diverse regimen. The screening of Glycosylated Hemoglobin Test (A1c), cholesterol testing, and obtaining influenza vaccine are instances of insufficient treatment among T2D patients. The purpose of the current study was to analyse the prevalence and related features of non-compliance to the care-processes described by the ADA's Comprehensive Medical Evaluation in an effort to better understand insufficient treatment. With the intention of delivering conceptual findings that are clear and minimise information overload, the current study looked at insufficient care.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This Retrospective study was conducted at Fazaia Medical College Islamabad in the period from May, 2022 to October, 2022 and comprised of 178 patients of type 2 DM. Informed written consent was obtained from all participants before to recording their demographic information, which included details such as comorbidities, body mass index (BMI), age, and smoking status. Participants with major medical (e.g., hemodialysis, pregnancy, etc.) or psychiatric disorders (i.e. due to contradictory medical recommendations or psychiatric problems) conditions were excluded from the study.

All participants had to be diagnosed with type 2 diabetes and between the ages of 20-70 years. Undertreatment in the type 2 diabetes population is the major result of interest. Inadequate treatment was defined in this study in accordance with the American Diabetes Association's (ADA) recommendations for treating type 2 diabetes, as presented in their in-depth medical review and described in detail in their yearly publication, Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes. 6 Given the impracticality of studying all 59 processes of treatment covered in the ADA's comprehensive medical examination, this study focused on a subset of those processes, namely nine procedures representing five broad categories. If the requirements outlined by the ADA were not satisfied, it was deemed that the care being provided was inadequate. These types of subpar medical attention were identified: 1) Passing a routine laboratory test, such as an A1c and cholesterol check. Two or more A1c tests over the last 12 months and one or more cholesterol tests within the past 12 months met the criteria for adequate A1c and cholesterol testing, respectively. 2) Pass a complete physical (here, it means a foot and an eye exam). Minimum requirements for both the eye and foot exams were one in the previous year and two years ago, respectively. Thirdly, pharmacologic therapy, which is defined as adhering to medication standards, such as for antihyperglycemic medication, high-intensity statin therapy for patients with established atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD), and hypertension treatment for patients with established hypertension. 4) Dietary management (or the existence thereof); 5) immunisation (or the receipt of an annual influenza shot). It was determined what percentage of the population in the United States falls into each of the five categories of poor care for diabetes on a nationwide scale (presence of inadequate care versus no presence). The overall quantity of subpar care was estimated using a different metric that took into account the sum of all the individual procedures of care that were found to be subpar and ranged from 0 (no inadequate care identified) to 9 (extremely high levels of inadequate care (every single process of care identified as inadequate). All data were analyzed using SPSS 22.0. Categorical data were analyzed using frequency and percentage distributions.

RESULTS

In all, ninety-eight (55.1%) cases were males and eighty (44.9%) cases were females. The mean age among patients was 53.13 ± 5.44 years having mean BMI 26.11 ± 6.76 kg/m². Majority of the cases 78 (43.8%) were had poor socio-economic status. 45 (25.3%) cases were smokers and HTN was found in 76 (42.7%) cases.(table 1)

Table-1: Baseline data of er	nrolled patients	
Variables	Frequency	Percentage
Gender		
Male	98	55.1
Female	80	44.9
Mean age (years)	53.13±5.44	
Mean BMI (kg/m ²)	26.11±6.76	
Socio-economic status		
Poor	78	43.8
Middle	60	33.7
High	40	22.5
Smokers		
Yes	45	25.3
No	133	74.7
HTN		
Yes	76	42.7
No	102	57.3

Frequency of inadequate life style management 44 (24.7%), inadequate immunization 60 (33.7%), inadequate pharmacological therapy 80 (44.9%), inadequate physical examination 72 (40.4%) and inadequate laboratory tests were 48 (26.96%).(figure 1)



Figure-1: The prevalence of inadequate treatment for people with type 2 diabetes

Overall inadequate care was found in 135 (75.8%) cases.(table 2)

Table-2: Overall inadequate care

Inadequate	Frequency	Percentage
Overall Frequency		
Yes	135	75.8
No	43	24.2

DISCUSSION

The purpose of this research was to determine the prevalence of inadequate glycemic control as well as the variables that are

substantially linked with rising levels of HbA1c among a sample of Moroccans with type 2 diabetes who were living in Pakistan. The majority of patients who participated in this study had inadequate glycemic control, as shown by the findings. Inadequate glycaemic control was also shown to be substantially linked with a longer duration of diabetes as well as treatment with insulin therapy alone or a combination of OAs with insulin.

In this study 178 patients were presented. Ninety-eight (55.1%) cases were males and eighty (44.9%) cases were females. The mean age among patients was 53.13±5.44 years having mean BMI 26.11±6.76 kg/m². These were comparable to the previous study.[16] Majority of the cases 78 (43.8%) were had poor socio-economic status. 45 (25.3%) cases were smokers and HTN was found in 76 (42.7%) cases.[17] In urban T2DM outpatients, researchers discovered that gender and resident location were both substantially linked with the frequency of chronic problems. It is possible that gender, economic disparities, variances in lifestyle, and inequalities in the functioning of health care systems all contributed to the observed discrepancies [18]. The researchers observed that an increase in age was associated with a higher frequency of chronic diabetes complications. This finding is in line with the findings of previous research [18,19], and it was shown that the frequency of complications was positively linked with the length of the illness, regardless of the age of the patients.

Various aspects of T2D care have been studied in other studies, but their prevalence has been evaluated only in isolation. Imai et al. reported that 50% of their sample group satisfied A1c testing adherence standards, while Lian et al. found that 58.5% of their sample population did so. [20,21] Both of these other studies reported greater rates of A1c testing compliance than was observed in the current study 24.2% of participants obtained sufficient laboratory tests). However, when it came to determining A1c testing compliance, both the Imai and Lian investigations employed more stringent criteria. Furthermore, the T2D group was found to exhibit all categories of insufficient care measured at a rate of 75.8% or higher in the current study. It is obvious that there is need for improvement with regard to care that complies with advised ADA requirements. The category of insufficient pharmacologic therapy had the greatest rate of detected poor care, with an estimated 50% of cases. Furthermore, the current study's antihyperglycemic drug adherence measurement conservative, requiring only a 65% PDC for any antihyperglycemic. It should be highlighted, though, that the most widely prescribed antihypertensives for diabetics and only highly potent cholesterollowering medications were utilised to treat hypertension and ASCVD.

Previous studies have looked into some of the traits of insufficient care measurements. Socio - economic status, smoking habits, and race were shown to be linked with one or more categories of subpar care in the current investigation, which is consistent with the Delevry et al. study Delevry et alstudy .'s Delevry et alstudy .'s Delevry et alstudy .'s Delevry et alstudy .'s Delevry et al [22] Age, economic level, education, financial status, and race are among the key determinants of diabetes foot and eye exams, according to prior research. [23] Racism and poverty level, however, were not shown to be significant related factors in the current study. The reference studies, which analysed National Diet and Nutrition Survey (NHANES) information from roughly 2005 to 2015 and used various selection and exclusion criteria, were different from the other studies in that they used different methods. However, the current study did not find any significant associations between race and poverty level. The National Diet and Nutrition Survey (NHANES) data from roughly 2005 to 2015 were evaluated in the reference studies, which also used multivariate models and varying inclusion and exclusion criteria. [24]

Although confounders were not taken into account in the current study, the findings describing the features of insufficient care that go along with it should be seen as exploratory. The results need to be confirmed and further context must be provided by a follow-up research. A type II statistical mistake might emerge when several outcomes were examined. There are a number of useful implications of the current study that should be emphasised. The fact that 44.9% of people were found to have had insufficient pharmacologic treatment is frightening evidence that poor care is a widespread problem. These findings call for more investigation into the health effects of subpar treatment, including a look at how they affect the use of medical resources. Although earlier research on this subject did not adequately account for system and physician factors in their models, the current study revealed that systems and physician factors, in addition to patient characteristics, are related with suboptimal treatment. Theoretical frameworks that take into account system and physician issues can be used to enhance models assessing insufficient treatment.

CONCLUSION

Treatment of type 2 diabetes is plagued by widespread problems due to inadequate care. It was shown that over 75.8% of people experienced at least one form of subpar care out of a possible five. Systematic, medical professional, and individual variables were all linked to subpar care delivery.

REFERENCES

- 1 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. National diabetes statistics report, 2020.Atlanta, GA, U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, U.S. Dept of Health and Human Services, 2020
- 2 K. Papatheodorou, M. Banach, E. Bekiari, M. Rizzo, M. Edmonds.Complications of Diabetes 2017.J Diabetes Res. 2018 (2018)
- 3 American Diabetes Association.Standards of medical care in diabetes—2018.Dia Care, 41 (Supplement 1) (2018), p. S3, 10.2337/dc18-Sppc01
- 4 Hawai'i Primary Care Association, author. Hawai'i Primary Care Directory: A Directory of Safety Net Health Services in Hawai'i. 2006. [January 4, 2012].
- 5 United States Department of Agriculture Economic Research Service, author. State Fact Sheets: United States. 2011. [January 4, 2012].
- 6 Hawai'i Health Information Corporation, author. Health Trends in Hawai'i: A Profile of the Health Care System. [December 22, 2011]
- 7 Institute of Medicine, author. Quality Through Collaboration: The Future of Rural Health. 2005.
- 8 Intensive blood-glucose control with sulphonylureas or insulin compared with conventional treatment and risk of complications in patients with type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 33) UK Prospective Diabetes Study Group Lancet 1998 352 9131 837 853 9742976
- 9 Saaddine JB Cadwell B Gregg EW Improvements in diabetes processes of care and intermediate outcomes: United States, 1988– 2002 Ann Intern Med 2006 144 7 465 474 16585660
- 10 Ong KL Cheung BM Wong LY Wat NM Tan KC Lam KS Prevalence, treatment, and control of diagnosed diabetes in the U.S. National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 1999–2004 Ann Epidemiol 2008 18 3 222 229
- 11 Ezenwaka CE Offiah NV Differences in cardiovascular disease risk factors in elderly and younger patients with type 2 diabetes in the West Indies Singapore Med J 2002 43 10 497 503 12587703
- 12 Cheneke W Suleman S Yemane T Abebe G Assessment of glycemic control using glycated hemoglobin among diabetic patients in Jimma University specialized hospital, Ethiopia BMC Res Notes 2016 9 96 26879899
- 13 Ghazanfari Z Niknami S Ghofranipour F Larijani B Agha-Alinejad H Montazeri A Determinants of glycemic control in female diabetic patients: a study from Iran Lipids Health Dis 2010 9 83 20701805
- 14 Liu ZL, Fu CW, Luan RS, Zhan SY, Chen WQ, Wang WB, Xu B: The impact of complication on quality of life among diabetic patients in urban China. Chin J Epidemiol 2008,29(10):1029–1033.
- 15 Reiber GE, Boyko EJ, Smith DG: Lower extremity foot ulcers and amputations in diabetes[A]. National Diabetes Data Group. Diabetes in America. Bethesda 2nd edition. 1995. NIH Publication NO.9521468
- 16 Mendes AB, Fittipaldi JA, Neves RC, Chacra AR, Moreira ED Jr. Prevalence and correlates of inadequate glycaemic control: results from a nationwide survey in 6,671 adults with diabetes in Brazil. Acta Diabetol. 2010 Jun;47(2):137-45.
- 17 Ko J, Delafield R, Davis J, Mau MK. Characteristics of patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in two rural, medically underserved communities. Hawaii J Med Public Health. 2013 Jun;72(6):191-6.

- 18 Cyganek K, Mirkiewicz-Sieradzka B, Malecki MT, Wolkow P, Skupien J, Bobrek J, Czogala M, Klupa T, Sieradzki J: Clinical risk factors and the role of VDR gene polymorphisms in diabetic retinopathy in Polish type 2 diabetes patients. Acta Diabetol 2006,43(4):114-119.
- 19 Morgan CL, Currie CJ, Stott NC, Smithers M, Butler CC, Peters JR: The prevalence of multiple diabetes-related complications. Diabet Med 2000,17(2):146-151.
- Lian J, Liang Y. Diabetes management in the real world and the impact of adherence to guideline recommendations. Curr Med Res 20 Opin. 2014;30(11):2233-2240.
- Imai C, Li L, Hardie RA, Georgiou A. Adherence to guideline-recommended HbA1c testing frequency and better outcomes in 21 patients with type 2 diabetes: a 5-year retrospective cohort study in

Australian general practice. BMJ Qual Saf. Published online February 4, 2021.

- 22 Delevry D, Ho A, Le QA. Association between processes of diabetes care and health care utilization in patients with diabetes: Evidence from a nationally representative US sample. Journal of Diabetes. 2021;13(1):78-88.
- Paksin-Hall A, Dent ML, Dong F, Ablah E. Factors contributing to 23
- 24 NHANES 2011-2016. Prim Care Diabetes. 2019;13(3):242-246