DOI: https://doi.org/10.53350/pjmhs22167392

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Perspectives of Psychosocial Risk Factors in Cardiovascular Disease

MUHAMMAD AMIN KHAN[1], SAMIA SARWAR[2], NAEEM AMJAD[3], FARRUKH SARRFRAZ[4], QURRATULAIN MEHFOOZ[5]
[1]*Assistant Professor, Department of Psychiatry, Niazi Medical & Dental College Sargodha*
[2]*Professor, Department of Physiology, Rawalpindi Medical University, Rawalpindi*
[3]*Assistant Professor, Department of Psychiatry, Shahida Islam Medical College Lodhran*
[4]*Assistant Professor Department of Medical Education, Azra Naheed Medical College, Superior University Lahore*
[5]*Assistant Professor, Department of Medical Education, Bakhtawer Amin Medical and Dental Colleg, Multan*
Correspondence to: Muhammad Amin Khan, Email: aminkhan_ksa@hotmail.com, Cell: 0335-5270247

ABSTRACT

Introduction: The acknowledgment that psychosocial risk factors contribute to the pathogenesis of cardiovascular malady has driven to the improvement of a new field of behavioral cardiology. The starting driving force for this field was considers performed within the 1980s and 1990s that given epidemiological prove and a pathophysiological premise for a solid connect between a number of psychosocial chance components and cardiovascular illness, counting misery, uneasiness, threatening vibe, work stretch, and destitute social support. In later a long time, extra psychosocial hazard components have been recognized, counting negativity; other shapes of chronic stress, such as childhood abuse and injury, and the mental stretch that will be related with constant medical ailment; need of life reason; and the disorder of "vital exhaustion," which comprises of a set of three of fatigue, demoralization, and crabbiness.

Objective: To determine the Perspectives of Psychosocial Risk factors in Cardiovascular Disease

Material and Methods

Study design: Quantitative cross sectional

Settings: Niazi welfare foundation teaching hospital Sargodha

Duration: Three months i.e. 1st January 2022 to 30th March 2022

Data Collection procedure: Cross sectional was conducted on 100 patients. Data was collected by validated questionnaire. The informed consent was taken from all the patients having cardiovascular disease included in the study. Each patient demographic profile was recorded. Psychosocial risk factors included wealth and personal life style stress was calculated. Validated questionnaire was administered to check the stress at home and at work. Data was analyzed by using SPSS version 22.

Results: The total number of patients was 110 in which 92 were males and 50 females. According to age 10 patients was in 30-40 age group, 52 was in 41-50, 40 was in 51-60 and 8 was above 60 year of age. The different level of stress as low moderate and high was calculated against Educational, Area and Marital status of patients.

Conclusion: Physical and psychosocial chance components at work may act freely to extend dangers or they may too associated to encourage increase the dangers of self-detailed back disorders. There is critical need of developing measuring tool for assessing psychosocial stress level.

Keywords: Stress, Psychosocial factor, Behavioral Cardiology, Cardiovascular disease.

INTRODUCTION

The acknowledgment that psychosocial risk factors contribute to the pathogenesis of cardiovascular malady has driven to the improvement of a new field of behavioral cardiology. The starting driving force for this field was considers performed within the 1980s and 1990s that given epidemiological prove and a pathophysiological premise for a solid connect between a number of psychosocial chance components and cardiovascular illness, counting misery, uneasiness, threatening vibe, work stretch, and destitute social support[1, 2]. In later a long time, extra psychosocial hazard components have been recognized, counting negativity; other shapes of chronic stress, such as childhood abuse and injury, and the mental stretch that will be related with constant medical ailment; need of life reason; and the disorder of "vital exhaustion," which comprises of a set of three of fatigue, demoralization, and crabbiness.

Modern research within the final decade has moreover set up that positive psychosocial variables, such as optimism, positive feelings, a dynamic social life, and a solid sense of life reason, can have an imperative health-buffering effect through their favorable impact on wellbeing behaviors and advancement of positive physiological working. Patients can be screened for psychosocial hazard components in clinical practice through either the utilize of open-ended questions, which can be coordinates into a physician's standard review of frameworks, or the utilize of brief surveys[3]. Doctors can help in the treatment of psychosocial chance variables in different ways, such as screening patients for mental trouble and making appropriate referrals when shown, giving patients with viable way of life recommendations, and utilizing office faculty to instruct patients behavioral or psychosocial intercessions that can advance a sense of well-being and/or diminish push[4, 5].

During the early 20th century, intense infectious sicknesses started to subside as the chief cause of death because of advances in sanitation and secure food supply, and the advancement of antibodies and anti-microbials. Chronic illnesses at that point started to develop as the essential causes of death, with cardiovascular illness (CVD) as the driving cause of passing. In 1948 the famous Framingham Heart Study was initiated[6]. By 1961 most of the major modifiable risk factors for CVD had been explained, including hypertension, dyslipidemia, diabetes, and smoking. At that time, interest with respect to potential psychosocial hazard variables for CVD was negligible. Growth of interest was started by research into "type A behavioral pattern," a group of three of threatening vibe, impatience/ time urgency, and a exceedingly competitive drive, that was to begin with proposed by Friedman and Rosenman[7, 8].

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Cross sectional was conducted on 110 patients. Data was collected by validated questionnaire. The informed consent was taken from all the patients having cardiovascular disease included in the study. Each patient demographic profile was recorded. Psychosocial risk factors included wealth and personal life style stress was calculated. Stress was inquired by categorizing into different scores from mild to serious. Stress related with life occasions included whether they had ever felt stress by misfortune of work, commerce failure, illness, relative death or any other adverse occasions. Budgetary stress was characterized as past 12-month stress due to deprived budgetary state or loss. Validated questionnaire was administered to check the stress at home and at work. Data was analyzed by using SPSS version 22.

RESULTS

The total number of patients was 110 in which 92 were males and 50 females. According to age 10 patients was in 30-40 age group, 52 was in 41-50, 40 was in 51-60 and 8 was above 60 year of age. As far as concerned education related stress out of 15 patients with no education 5 graded low, 7 graded moderate and 3 belongs to high grade stress. In Primary education group out of 24 patients 12 graded low, 10 graded moderate and 2 belongs to high grade stress. In secondary education group out of 40 patients 20 graded low, 16 graded moderate and 4 belongs to high grade stress. In higher studies group out of 31 patients 10 graded low, 14 graded moderate and 7 belongs to high grade stress. Area related stress factor in rural area out of 25 patients 15 graded low, 8 graded moderate and 2 belongs to high grade stress and in urban development out of 85 patients 42 graded low, 35 graded moderate and 8 belongs to high grade stress. In unmarried status of patients out of 10 patients 5 graded low, 3 graded moderate and 2 belongs to high grade stress. In married patients out of 60 patients 25 graded low, 26 graded moderate and 9 belongs to high grade stress and divorced patients out of 40 patients 8 graded low, 26 graded moderate and 6 belongs to high grade stress.

Table 1: Demographic Profile

No.	Parameter	Number	Percentage
1	Gender		
	Male	60	54.5
	Females	50	45.5
2	Age		
	30-40	10	9.09
	41-50	52	47.27
	51-60	40	36.36
	>60	8	7.27

Table 2: Stress related to Education (n=110)

No.	Parameter	Grades		
		Low	Moderate	High
1	Stress induced Education			
	No Education (15)	5	7	3
	Primary (24)	12	10	2
	Secondary (40)	20	16	4
	Higher Studies(31)	10	14	7

Table 3: Stress related to Area and Marital status (n=110)

No.	Parameter	Grades		
		Low	Moderate	High
1	Area			
	Rural (25)	15	8	2
	Urban (85)	42	35	8
2	Marital Status			
	Unmarried (10)	5	3	2
	Married (60)	25	26	9
	Divorced (40)	8	26	6

DISCUSSION

This study pointed to address the lack of research into the potential psychosocial contribution to cardiovascular dangers. Social determinants related to wellbeing were related with high prevalence of cardiovascular morbidities and mortalities, worldwide. still complex but it is regularly measured and relates with deleterious behaviors like smoking, dietary propensities, alcohol consumption, need of work out that might increment the probability of cardiovascular infections, stroke and death. Underlying mechanism may include thoughtful nervous system activation, neuroendocrine, immunologic, hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal axis, as well as interaction of natural and genetic components[1].

The stress profiles of the ethnic bunch were strikingly different, with the South Asians at a clear psychosocial disadvantage[9]. They experienced essentially more chronic stress, in terms of money related strain, social cohesion, family conflict and racial segregation. Ethnic contrasts were generally kept up after alteration for socioeconomic variations. Persistent stressors have over and over been connected with increased chance of CVD in other populations. The work stress results, be that as it may, were more complicated. The summary measures job strain and effort–reward imbalance did not differ between ethnic bunches, since the lower levels of job control and work rewards in South Asians were compensated by fewer demands and less exertion. Work social bolster was however lower in South Asian than in white European men[10,11].

CONCLUSION

Physical and psychosocial chance components at work may act freely to extend dangers or they may too associated to encourage increase the dangers of self-detailed back disorders. Methodologies aimed at the anticipation or intercession of dangers for work related back disorders ought to reduce exposure both to physical and psychosocial risk factors at work.

There is critical need of developing measuring tool for assessing psychosocial stress level. Sound dietary designs, exercising regularly, adequate rest, keeping up a solid weight and social interaction makes a difference in overseeing stress and reduce the chances of CVD.

REFERENCES

1. Bunker SJ, Colquhoun DM, Esler MD, Hickie IB, Hunt D, Jelinek VM, et al. “Stress” and coronary heart disease: psychosocial risk factors. Medical Journal of Australia. 2003;178(6):272-6.
2. Glozier N, Tofler GH, Colquhoun DM, Bunker SJ, Clarke DM, Hare DL, et al. Psychosocial risk factors for coronary heart disease. Medical Journal of Australia. 2013;199(3):179-80.
3. Jenkins CD, Rosenman RH, Friedman M. Development of an objective psychological test for the determination of the coronary-prone behavior pattern in employed men. Journal of chronic diseases. 1967;20(6):371-9.
4. Pikhart H, Pikhartova J. The relationship between psychosocial risk factors and health outcomes of chronic diseases: a review of the evidence for cancer and cardiovascular diseases: World Health Organization. Regional Office for Europe; 2015.
5. Williams RB, Barefoot JC, Schneiderman N. Psychosocial risk factors for cardiovascular disease: more than one culprit at work. Jama. 2003;290(16):2190-2.
6. Lavie CJ, Menezes AR, De Schutter A, Milani RV, Blumenthal JA. Impact of cardiac rehabilitation and exercise training on psychological risk factors and subsequent prognosis in patients with cardiovascular disease. Canadian Journal of Cardiology. 2016;32(10):S365-S73.
7. Jenkins CD. Psychosocial risk factors for coronary heart disease. Acta medica scandinavica. 1982;211(S660):123-36.
8. Nasiłowska-Barud A, Barud M. Psychological risk factors for cardiovascular diseases. Wiadomości Lekarskie. 2020;73(9):1829-934.
9. Taylor JL, Popovic D, Lavie CJ. Exercise Modalities and Intensity to Improve Functional Capacity and Psychological/Mental Health in Cardiac Rehabilitation: A Role for Nordic Walking? Canadian Journal of Cardiology. 2022.
10. Bhosale SJ. An impact of stress in development of Coronary Heart Disease: A psychological Review in context of Indian population.
11. Matthews KA. Psychological perspectives on the type A behavior pattern. Psychological bulletin. 1982;91(2):293.