

# To Examine the Criteria for ERCP Under General Anesthesia, As Well as the Fundamental Disorders, Kind, and Effectiveness of ERCP During General Anesthesia Vs Conscious Sedation

JAMSHAI D ALAM<sup>1</sup>, AYESHA FATIMA<sup>2</sup>, MUHAMMAD ARSLAN ZAHID<sup>3</sup>, NADIA SAJJAD<sup>4</sup>, MUHAMMAD SHUAIB<sup>5</sup>, SALEEMA BIBI<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Medical Officer, Rural Health Center Basirpur, Okara

<sup>2</sup>Senior Registrar, Anaesthesia, Watim Medical & Dental College Rawalpindi

<sup>3</sup>Specialist, Department of Anesthesia, The Indus Hospital Karachi

<sup>4</sup>Medical Officer, Aims Hospital Muzaffarabad AJK

<sup>5</sup>Associate Professor Department of Medicine, Mohiuddin Teaching Hospital, Mirpur AJK

<sup>6</sup>Medical Officer, BHU Muzaffarabad, Muzaffarabad

Corresponding Author: Jamshaid Alam, Email: [gehlan69@gmail.com](mailto:gehlan69@gmail.com)

## ABSTRACT

**Aim:** In males, general anesthesia is normally used only once conscious sedation has failed and endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography is being performed. Other variables may be connected with general anesthesia for ERCP in the current organization, it was suggested. The goal of such research aimed to investigate the criteria for ERCP underneath general anesthesia, as well as to compare fundamental disorders, kind, and effectiveness of ERCP during general anesthesia to mindful sedation.

**Methods:** We conducted a retrospective review of 2000 ERCPs achieved below general anesthesia or conscious sedation on individuals. In both sets, the reasons for general anesthesia were documented, as were the fundamental disorders, the kind and efficacy of the procedures, and the grounds of early ERCP discontinuation.

**Results:** Nineteen percent of ERCPs remained conducted underneath general anesthesia, while the remaining 81 percent have been conducted with conscious sedation. The causes for GA included kind of treatment planned (48%), early discontinuation of ERCP below conscious sedation (29%), and other factors. Patients diagnosed sclerosing cholangitis in addition liver transplant patients were more likely to have general anesthesia (37 % vs. 19 %,  $P = 0.0002$  and 23 % vs. 14 %,  $P = 0.004$ ). Participants with neoplasms and cholelithiasis received conscious sedation at a higher rate (23 % vs. 13 %,  $P = 0.005$  and 14 % vs. 5 %,  $P = 0.002$ ). Painful dilations were conducted more commonly under general anesthesia (62 % vs. 21 percent,  $P = 0.003$ ), but large papillotomies were preferred under conscious sedation (35 % vs. 23 percent,  $P = 0.008$ ). During the same time period, general anesthesia resulted in more interventions per ERCP ( $P = 0.003$ ) than conscious sedation (53 M 29 min vs. 55 M 28 min,  $P = 0.38$ ). The ERCP probability of failure with conscious sedation remained dual that of general anesthesia (8 percent vs. 15%,  $P = 0.013$ ), owing mostly to insufficient conscious sedation (62 percent).

**Conclusion:** Our institution's recurrent usage of general anesthesia for ERCP is connected to fundamental disorders, that also remain routinely addressed using difficult and unpleasant ERCP procedures. Whenever difficult and unpleasant percutaneous ERCP procedures are anticipated, the effectiveness of ERCP using general anesthesia indicates a sustained predilection for general anesthetic over conscious sedation.

**Keywords:** General Anesthesia, ERCP, Fundamental Disorders.

## INTRODUCTION

The appropriate usage of sedation, analgesia, otherwise GA in intestinal endoscopy remains also debatable. Endoscopy of upper also inferior gastrointestinal tracts remains performed while conscious sedation in France and Germany. Conscious sedation is not utilized commonly for diagnostic endoscopic operations in various European nations, as well as in Australia, Europe, and Asia [1]. Conscious sedation is used to reduce suffering and produce anxiolysis, collaboration, and forgetfulness during therapeutic, time-consuming, and occasionally unpleasant endoscopic treatments just like endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography. Therefore, once verbal communication is gone, conscious sedation can readily lead to anesthesia [2]. An anesthesia was subsequently provided, with all of the attendant duties. Whenever the gastroenterologist takes on the role of "operator-anesthetist," the endoscopist must concentrate on both the procedure and clinical monitoring. Cardiopulmonary responses account for more than 53% of serious adverse events after endoscopy and might even be attributed to under or oversedation. Conscious sedation is sometimes insufficient for proper conclusion of process, particularly with interventional ERCPs. In the reflective review, the proportion of cases who failed ERCP under heavy sedation was determined to be drug abusers or excessively worried. 5.8 percent of 1300 ERCPs were performed under general anesthesia, and 85 percent of these participants had at least one unsuccessful effort below conscious sedation [3]. Researchers anticipated that general anesthesia remains utilized extra commonly for ERCP at our institution in addition that reasons for general anesthesia varied from these described from German university hospitals. It was speculated that this was due to the fact

that, in comparison to other institutions, distinct fundamental disorders remain preserved through more sophisticated, unpleasant, and time-consuming endoscopic operations [4]. As a result, researchers conducted a retrospective analysis of ERCPs performed underneath conscious sedation in addition GA in instruction to give info that can aid in identifying individuals for whom also general anesthetic or aware calm remains preferred [5].

## METHODOLOGY

The research was authorized by the Mayo Hospital's local Ethics Committee in Lahore. Furthermore, the kind, length, and effectiveness of treatments were assessed, as were reasons of early finish of ERCPs in cases undergoing ERCP underneath GA ( $n = 198$ ) vs individuals receiving ERCP under conscious sedation ( $n = 869$ ). The endoscopists provided and maintained conscious sedation. Conscious sedation with midazolam also pethidine (55 mg, 12–110 mg) was used in 94 percent of surgeries ( $n = 789$ ). Midazolam (10 mg, 3.6–16.1 mg), pethidine (51 mg, 12–52 mg), and propofol (122 mg, 11–565 mg) were given to 9% of the individuals ( $n = 87$ ). 4.76–8.6 mg midazolam was administered orally 45 minutes even before initiation of general anesthesia. 3.6–4.1 mg propofol per kg body weight, 0.6 mg atracurium per Kg, and 0.6–2.1 mg alfentanil were used to produce general anesthesia. General anesthesia was administered following endotracheal intubation with 0.5–2.0 percent isoflurane, 72 percent nitrous oxide in 33 percent oxygen, also repeated amounts of 0.2 mg atracurium per kilograms and 0.6–1.1 mg alfentanil. The outcomes remain presented as means plus or minus standard deviation also range. The Mann-Whitney U-test or 2-tailed Fisher's exact test remained also utilized to comparison individuals receiving ERCP with

general anesthesia versus those under conscious sedation. To identify the dependent variables related with general anesthesia, the multivariate examination remained showed by means of logistic regression. P standards of less than 0.06 remained measured as very important.

**RESULTS**

Through three-year period, the overall 2000 ERCPs were conducted on 595 individuals (245 females, 350 males). Nineteen percent of ERCPs (n = 192) remained conducted below general anesthesia, also eighty-three percent were conducted under conscious sedation (n = 869). When difficult, uncomfortable, or time-consuming ERCPs remained scheduled, and also once ERCP underneath conscious sedation occurred, general anesthesia was usually recommended (Table 1). There was a history of unpleasant procedures in 27 of the 88 individuals for whom sign for general anesthesia remained connected to kind of surgery planned. Table 2 depicts the major groupings of fundamental illnesses. Patients diagnosed sclerosing cholangitis and liver transplant recipients remained more likely to get general anesthesia than conscious sedation. In individuals through neoplasms and cholelithiasis, however, general anesthesia remained used less commonly. Individuals having neoplasms that got distal general bile strictures were extra likely to get conscious sedation than general anesthesia, although no differences were seen in individuals having hilar strictures (Table 2). Adult people undergoing general anesthesia was younger (44 M 17 years, range 19–78 vs. 53 M 18 years, range 19–93; P 0.002). There was really no variation in the gender breakdown among it 2 sets (GA vs. conscious sedation: women, 39% vs. 43%; men, 63% vs. 58%; P > 0.3). Extra healing ERCPs remained conducted below GA than under conscious sedation, through bile duct network accounting for the bulk of operations. Hard and painful treatments, such as stenoses and strictures dilatation, remained complete three times more time on individuals under general anesthesia, whereas large papillotomies remained accomplished three times more frequently on individuals under conscious sedation. General anesthesia resulted in more interventions per ERCP operation than conscious sedation (2.88 M 1.5 vs. 1.49 M 1.22, P 0.002) in the same time (52.5 M 28.1 vs. 51.9 M 25.9 min, P > 0.3). The disappointment rate of ERCP under general anesthesia was half that of ERCP under conscious sedation. 10.7 percent of ERCPs performed under conscious sedation ended early due to insufficient conscious sedation.

Table 1:

	n	Percentage
Endoscopic details	4	2
Connected to type of process deliberate	42	22
Airway defense throughout compound interventions in supine position	33	17
Painful interferences	49	26
Intubated enduring from intensive-care unit		
Agitation	6	3.5
Time-consuming interferences	1	0.5
Medicine misuse	12	6

Table 2:

	CS		GA		P
	N	%	N	%	
Benign biliary diseases	128	68	434	54	< 0.002
Cholelithiasis	8	5	115	14	< 0.002
Primary sclerosing cholangitis	68	37	139	17	< 0.002
Causing hilar stricture	138	49	85	12	> 0.5
Neoplasms	24	14	179	23	0.005
Unclear findings	8	5	47	6	> 0.4
Periampullary diseases	6	10	61	8	> 0.3
No findings	6	36	7	7	0.07
Others	9	5	10	2	0.006

**DISCUSSION**

Owing to the clients' underlying medical conditions, that usually require unpleasant and time-consuming ERCP operations, we routinely administer general anesthesia for ERCP at our facility [6]. When conscious sedation was administered, the current investigation demonstrates that insufficient conscious sedation was the primary reason of ERCP failure. The majority of unsuccessful ERCPs were subsequently redone under general anesthesia [7]. These findings suggest that general anesthesia provides better circumstances than conscious sedation, particularly for difficult healing ERCPs. The effectiveness of ERCP below GA supports use of GA through conscious sedation for difficult in addition unpleasant interventional ERCP operations [8]. It was formerly reported that 84% of individuals who had general anesthetic for ERCP had at least one failure effort under sedation. Multi drug usage was the reason of less efficient conscious sedation in 49 percent of these individuals. Only 29 percent of participants in the current research needed GA for ERCP owing to past failed ERCP efforts below conscious sedation, and poor consciousness sedation remained not associated with medication usage [9]. According to one study, acute worry without drug addiction was another major reason for obtaining GA for ERCP. At the current facility, amplified concern remained not a reason for GA The kind of ERCP treatment intended, pediatric individuals, also rejection of conscious sedation were the major reasons for delivering general anesthesia [10].

**CONCLUSION**

Designers administer general anesthesia for ERCP more frequently than other institutions because individuals having various underlying illnesses are sent to our hospital. These disorders are commonly treated using ERCP operations, which are both unpleasant and time-consuming. General anesthesia makes it easier to undertake these sophisticated healing ERCP operations. Though, this is not continuously feasible to foresee if the healing ERCP would remain unpleasant, complicated, or time consuming. Because problematic cannulation may occur also with common bile duct stones, established guidelines for usage of GA in completely ERCP operations cannot be used. Researchers employ general anesthesia for ERCP whenever the pretherapeutic examination of the underlying condition indicates that a difficult and unpleasant operation is required, where ERCP fails under conscious sedation, in addition so once individuals refuse conscious sedation. The effectiveness of ERCP underneath general anesthesia supports the ongoing use of GA for difficult in addition unpleasant operations.

**REFERENCES**

- Manes G, Paspatis G, Aabakken L, Anderloni A, Arvanitakis M, Ah-Soune P, et al. Endoscopic management of common bile duct stones: European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) guideline. *Endoscopy*. 2019; 51: 472– 91.
- Committee ASoP, Chandrasekhara V, Chathadi KV, Acosta RD, Decker GA, Early DS, et al. The role of endoscopy in benign pancreatic disease. *Gastrointest Endosc*. 2019; 82: 203– 14.
- Committee ASoP, Chathadi KV, Chandrasekhara V, Acosta RD, Decker GA, Early DS, et al. The role of ERCP in benign diseases of the biliary tract. *Gastrointest Endosc*. 2021; 81: 795– 803.
- Andriulli A, Loperfido S, Napolitano G, Niro G, Valvano MR, Spirito F, et al. Incidence rates of post-ERCP complications: a systematic survey of prospective studies. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2017; 102: 1781– 8.
- Kim JA, Yoon S, Kim LY, Kim D-S. Towards actualizing the value potential of Korea Health Insurance Review and Assessment (HIRA) data as a resource for health research: strengths, limitations, applications, and strategies for optimal use of HIRA data. *J Kor Med Sci*. 2021; 32: 718– 28.
- Johnson KD, Perisetti A, Tharian B, Thandassery R, Jamidar P, Goyal H, et al. Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography-related complications and their management strategies: a "scoping" literature review. *Dig Dis Sci*. 2020; 65: 361– 75.

7. Kim KA, Ki M, Choi HY, Kim BH, Jang ES, Jeong S-H. Population-based epidemiology of primary biliary cirrhosis in South Korea. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 2020; 43: 154– 62.
8. Jang DK, Kim J, Park WB, Yi SY, Lee JK, Yoon WJ. Increasing burden of biliary tract infection caused by extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing organisms in Korea: a nationwide population-based study. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2020; 35: 56– 64.
9. Cotton PB, Lehman G, Vennes J, Geenen JE, Russell RCG, Meyers WC, et al. Endoscopic sphincterotomy complications and their management: an attempt at consensus. *Gastrointest Endosc.* 2019; 37: 383– 93.
10. Mutneja H, Vohra I, Go A, Bhurwal A, Katiyar V, Baig M, et al. Temporal trends and mortality of post-endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography pancreatitis in the United States: a nationwide analysis. *Endoscopy.* 2021 Apr; 53(4): 357– 366.