ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Prevalence of Angiographically Significant Left Main Disease at a Tertiary Care Hospital in Karachi; A Large Cardiac Interventional Study

JAVAID UR REHMAN¹, SAMIULLAH², NAVEEDULLAH³, JAVED KHURSHED SHAIKH⁴, IFTIKHAR AHMED⁵, TARIQ ASHRAF⁶

¹MBBS, FCPS (Cardiology) Interventional Cardiology Fellow National Institute of Cardiovascular Disease, Karachi.

³Associate Professor National Institute of Cardiovascular Disease, Karachi.

⁴MBBS, FCPS (General Cardiology), MSPH Assistant professor Department of Cardiology, National Institute of Cardiovascular Disease, Karachi.

⁵MBBS, FCPS (Cardiology) Fellowship in Interventional Cardiology Assistant Professor Department of Cardiology, National Institute of Cardiovascular Disease, Hyderabad Centre

⁶FCPS Cardiology Professor of Cardiology NICVD Karachi

Corresponding author: Javaid Ur Rehman, Email: drjavaid354@gmail.com, Cell: 03335069591

ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine the prevalence of significant left main coronary artery disease (Stenosis more than 50%) at NICVD Karachi.

Method: We conducted this observational cross-sectional study retrospectively at NICVD Karachi. Our institution analyzed both emergency and elective coronary angiography data from 5th July 2018 to 5th July 2020 for the existence of significant left main coronary artery disease. A study of coronary artery disease only included patients with significant left main coronary artery disease. Approximately 50% stenosis was considered significant left main coronary artery disease.

Results: A total of 10,828 patients were selected for the study population, out of the total of 8975 males and 1853 female patients who underwent coronary angiography during our mentioned period at NICVD Karachi, 327 patients (3%) showed significant left main coronary artery disease. 277 patients (83%) were male and 50(17%) were female. About 50% of patients were between the ages of 60 -80 years old Minimum age was 32 years and the maximum age was 95 years. Hypertensive were 5197 (48%) and diabetes was 2480 (22.9%). The maximum number of patients in CCS grade II angina is about 239 (73.0%).

Conclusion: High prevalence of disease in the Left major coronary artery is associated with greater mortality and morbidity in our CAD patients.

Keywords: Coronary artery diseases, left main coronary artery, coronary intervention, coronary angiography.

INTRODUCTION

The main cause of death and morbidity in the world is coronary artery disease (CAD).¹ The burden of CAD has been increasing in developing countries despite advances in therapeutic and diagnostic approaches, due to rapid urbanization, smoking, and dietary changes.² An estimated 1 out of 5 people in Pakistan's middle age group has an underlying cardiovascular disease.³ Good number of cases present with significant LMCA disease. We defined significant CAD as narrowing of the left main stem by more than 50%, or of any of the three major epicardial arteries of more than 2mm diameter, by >70%. Patients undergoing coronary arteriography are diagnosed with significant LMCA disease in 4 to 6%.⁴ Around 70% of the time, LMCA disease is associated with multi-vessel CAD.⁵⁻⁷ These patients have a poor prognosis with medical management and benefit from early intervention.8,9 Although LMCA disease may be suspected from the severity of the symptoms, ST-Segment elevation in AVR on 12 lead electrocardiography(ECG) or stress ECG, early appearance of ischemia on noninvasive testing, or left ventricular cavity dilatation on myocardial perfusion imaging, there is currently no reliable noninvasive method for predicting LMCA disease, presently it is diagnosed by Coronary arteriography.10 Internationally large number of trials looked for the prevalence of LMCA disease but local data regarding this subject is scanty.

MATERIAL AND METHODS:

After taking ethical review committee approval we retrospectively studied patients who were admitted at NICVD Karachi from 5th July 2018 to 5th July 2020 for coronary angiography for the presence of significant left main coronary artery disease, left main coronary angiography performed and interpreted by the qualified and trained interventional cardiologist were included. Left main coronary artery disease more than 50 % were considered significant while < 50 % and those patients with prior history of coronary intervention, valvular heart disease, cardiomyopathies, and renal dysfunction were not included in the research.

After receiving patients' informed permission, brought nil by mouth (NBM) to the catheterization laboratory and in case of patients were diabetic, they received good hydration before and after the procedure good hydration with normal saline and if on metformin, it was held for 48 hours before and 48 hours after the procedure. All patient had their full blood count, renal function test, liver function test, serum electrolytes, and virology. Patients with a creatinine of more than 2 milligrams per deciliter (mg/dl) and hemoglobin (Hb) less than 10 mg/dl were dropped from the study.

In all cases, coronary angiography was performed and percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) was performed if the vessel was suitable for intervention, and coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) if the syntax score exceeded 32. A frequency, mean, and mode analysis of all the collected data was conducted using SPSS version 22.

RESULTS

A total of 10,828 patients were selected for the study population, During the period we have chosen, NICVD Karachi performed coronary angiography on 8975 males and 1853 female patients. Of these, 327 patients (3%) had severe left main coronary artery disease. 277 patients (83%) were male and 50 (17%) were female About 50% of patients were aged 60 -80 years old Minimum age was 32 years and the maximum age was 95 years

There was a mean age of 55.97 years among the patients. The prevalence of hypertension among 5197 patients (48%) and diabetes among 2480 patients (22.9%) was high. In 327 patients with the left main disease, 166 (50.7%) had hypertension and 88 (27%) had diabetes.

Out of 10,828 patients, 2534 (23.4%) presented with Canadian Cardiovascular Society (CCS) grade I angina, 6356 (58.7%) presented with CCS grade II angina, 1375 (12.7%) presented with CCS grade III angina and 379 (3.5%) presented with CCS grade IV angina. Out of 327 patients with LMS disease, 26 (8%) presented with CCS grade I angina, 47 (14.3%) presented with CCS grade II angina, and 16 (4.8%) presented with CCS grade IV angina.

²MBBS, FCPS Cardiology, Fellowship in Interventional Cardiology, National Institute of Cardiovascular Disease, Karachi.

Table 1: Gender Distribution (n=327)

1	Gender	n	%
	Male	277	83%
	Female	50	17%

Table 2: Age-wise distribution (n=327)

	Age	Age	Age	Age
	20-40 years	40-60 years	60-80 years	80-100 years
	2	144	166	15
	0.6%	44%	50.7%	4.5%

Table 3: Baseline Demographic parameters of Study Population (n=327)

Patients	Total No	Patient with LMS
Study population	10,828	327
Male	8975 (83%)	277 (85%)
Female	1853 (17%)	50 (15%)
Hypertensive Patients	5197 (48%)	166 (50.7%)
Diabetics	2480 (22.9%)	88 (27%)
Canadian Cardiovascular Society (CCS) I	2534 (23.4%)	26 (8%)
Canadian Cardiovascular Society (CCS) II	6356 (58.7%)	239 (73.0%)
Canadian Cardiovascular Society (CCS) I	1375 (12.7%)	47 (14.3%)
Canadian Cardiovascular Society CCS grade I	379 (3.5%)	16 (4.8%)

DISCUSSION

Coronary artery disease (CHD) is the leading cause of death in affluent nations while it is one of the leading causes of disease burden in emerging nations.¹¹ This era has seen a new epidemic of coronary artery disease.¹² All stages of diagnosis and treatment of coronary artery disease remain at risk for morbidity and mortality associated with left main coronary artery disease (LMCA).¹³ The symptoms of left main stem pathology are often silent,¹⁴ with an unpredictable presentation, which makes diagnosis and treatment more challenging. About 4 to 6 percent of coronary artery disease (LMCAD) (angiographic narrowing greater than 50 percent).¹⁵ Approximately 70 percent of the time, it leads to multi-vessel coronary artery disease.¹⁶

It is not always easy to identify a significant left main disease. Left main narrowing is routinely understated and overestimated by angiography. Particularly, in diseased segments such as those at the ostial or distal bifurcation, or if there are dense calcium deposits or eccentric disease, this is true.¹⁷ A symptomatic coronary artery disease caused by LMCA stenosis is, however, an uncommon cause of medical attention,¹⁸ so patients most of the time do not visit the hospital very often. Angioplasty is available in very few centers in our part of the world as well as most patients are taken to a catheterization lab when they have class III or IV angina, so the prospect of finding a left main disease is reduced even further. About 24% of Sudden Cardiac Deaths are caused by coronary heart diseases and cardiac anomalies together.19 Approximately 40% of sudden deaths are not witnessed.²⁰ The remaining 3-5% of cases are unsolved.²¹ There is no indication at this time of what contributions coronaries will make to these unexplained and unwitnessed cases.

The number of angiographies and percutaneous coronary interventions we performed during our study was 10,828. The significant left main disease was found in 327 cases (3%). According to our data,²² the reason for the higher rate is that our patients develop significant changes during their delay in arriving at the lab, as compared to the international data. Our study had an average age of 55.97 years, and we saw coronary heart disease in extremely young people. If we started screening early then as in the rest of the world,²³ the figure would be similar to international data. In our study, 277 (85%) of the patients were males and 50 (15%) were females. Thus, the ratio of females to males was 2.4:1. Accordingly, males are more likely to suffer from coronary diseases than females.²⁴ There were 88 diabetics among 327

patients with left main stem disease. There were 96 non-diabetics. In diabetics, mortality is 2 to 4 times higher despite diabetes being a major risk factor.²⁵ It may be the same custom of late presentation that led to their low figure and in the age group they came to us, they lost a great deal. Among the patients with the left main disease, 166 (50.7%) were hypertensive. The relationship is very interesting. In comparison with diabetes, hypertension usually presents late.^{26,27} 8% of patients who had significant Left Main CAD were in class I angina, while 73% were in CLASS IV angina. Additionally, the cohorts show that more patients fall into the category of angina for which angiography is not typically advised, and by the time these patients get to the catheterization lab, they are largely lost.

According to international data Left main (LM), stenosis is visualized in around 3-5% of all coronary angiograms.²⁸ Our data reflect the occurrence of significant left main coronary artery disease to be 3 % while in Pakistan a study by Hussain Ch et al²⁹ it is 10.5% reason already explained by him that their patient's performance was very late to the cath lab and during this delay they got significant changes. Another study in Pakistan by Shaikh MY et al³⁰ showed the incidence of left main disease was 16.2% because they included patients even with a minor visible plaque while significant left main coronary artery disease was 4.5% by them.

The difference with another study may be due to a difference in hospital strategy (early conservative) where patients with STEMI are thrombolysis, NSTEMI are treated medically, and only small a portion of patients does their angiograms so most of the left main disease cases are missed. The incidence of the left main disease at the time of presentation whether it is the culprit or not warrants early surgical or percutaneous interventions.

CONCLUSION

A sovereign risk factor for high mortality and morbidity is left main disease, which is common. Whether the present-day guidelines are enough for angiography in patients with multiple risk factors and stable angina or need redefinition and will be cost-effective is an unanswered question.

REFERENCES

- Leal J, Luengo-Fernández R, Gray A, Petersen S, Rayner M. Economic burden of cardiovascular diseases in the enlarged European Union. Eur Heart J [Internet]. 2006 Jul 1;27(13):1610–9. Available from: http://academic.oup.com/eurheartj/article/27/13/1610/636758/Econo
- mic-burden-of-cardiovascular-diseases-in-the
 Nag T, Ghosh A. Cardiovascular disease risk factors in Asian Indian population: A systematic review. J Cardiovasc Dis Res [Internet].
 2014 Feb; Available from: http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0975358314000059
- Faheem M, Shah I, Noor L, Adil M, Hameedullah, Hafizullah M. Effect of cholesterol level on platelet aggregability in normal individuals [Internet]. Vol. 27, Journal of Postgraduate Medical Institute. 2013 [cited 2022 Jun 29]. p. 250–6. Available from: https://jpmi.org.pk/index.php/jpmi/article/view/1395
- Yildirimturk O, Cansel M, Erdim R, Ozen E, Demiroglu ICC, Aytekin V. Coexistence of Left Main and Right Coronary Artery Ostial Stenosis: Demographic and Angiographic Features. Int J Angiol [Internet]. 2011 Mar 14;20(1):033–8. Available from: http://www.thieme-connect.de/DOI/DOI?10.1055/s-0031-1272550
- Karabulut A, Cakmak M. Treatment strategies in the left main coronary artery disease associated with acute coronary syndromes. J Saudi Hear Assoc [Internet]. 2015 Oct;27(4):272–6. Available from: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1016731515000081
- Gagnor A, Tomassini F, Romagnoli E, Infantino V, Rosa Brusin MC, Maria C, et al. Percutaneous left main coronary disease treatment without on-site surgery back-up in patients with acute coronary syndromes. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv [Internet]. 2012 May 1;79(6):979–87. Available from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ccd.23225
- Lee MS, Sillano D, Latib A, Chieffo A, Biondi Zoccai G, Bhatia R, et al. Multicenter international registry of unprotected left main coronary artery percutaneous coronary intervention with drug-eluting stents in

patients with myocardial infarction. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv 1;73(1):15-21. [Internet]. 2009 Jan Available from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ccd.21712

- 8. Claver E, Curós A, López-Ayerbe J, Serra J, Mauri J, Fernández-Nofrerias E, et al. [Clinical predictors of left main coronary artery disease in high-risk patients with a first episode of non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome]. Rev Esp Cardiol [Internet]. 2006 Aug;59(8):794-800. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16938228
- BRUSCHKE AVG, Proudfit WL, SONES JR FM. Progress study of 9. 590 consecutive nonsurgical cases of coronary disease followed 5-9 years: II. ventriculographic and other correlations. Circulation. 1973;47(6):1154-63.
- Lim JS, Proudfit WL, Sones FM. Left main coronary arterial 10 obstruction: Long-term follow-up of 141 nonsurgical cases. Am J [Internet]. 1975 Aug;36(2):131-5. Cardiol Available from: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/0002914975905159
- 11 Conley MJ, Ely RL, Kisslo J, Lee KL, McNeer JF, Rosati RA. The prognostic spectrum of left main stenosis. Circulation [Internet]. 1978 May;57(5):947-52. Available from: https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/10.1161/01.CIR.57.5.947
- 12. Marler AT, Malik JA, Slim AM. Anomalous Left Main Coronary Artery: Case Series of Different Courses and Literature Review. Case Rep Med [Internet]. 2013;2013:1-5. Available from: http://www.hindawi.com/journals/crivam/2013/380952/
- Dalen JE, Alpert JS, Goldberg RJ, Weinstein RS. The epidemic of the 13. 20(th) century: coronary heart disease. Am J Med [Internet]. 2014 Sep;127(9):807-12. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24811552
- Chikwe J, Kim M, Goldstone AB, Fallahi A, Athanasiou T. Current 14. diagnosis and management of left main coronary disease. Eur J Cardio-Thoracic Surg [Internet]. 2010 Oct;38(4):420-8. Available https://academic.oup.com/ejcts/articlefrom lookup/doi/10.1016/j.ejcts.2010.03.003
- Ragosta M, Dee S, Sarembock IJ, Lipson LC, Gimple LW, Powers 15. ER. Prevalence of unfavorable angiographic characteristics for percutaneous intervention in patients with unprotected left main coronary artery disease. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv [Internet]. 2006 Sep;68(3):357-62. Available from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ccd.20709
- 16. Serruys PW, Morice M-C, Kappetein AP, Colombo A, Holmes DR, Mack MJ, et al. Percutaneous Coronary Intervention versus Coronary-Artery Bypass Grafting for Severe Coronary Artery Disease. N Engl J Med [Internet]. 2009 Mar 5;360(10):961-72. Available from: http://www.nejm.org/doi/abs/10.1056/NEJMoa0804626

- 17. Botman CJ, Schonberger J, Koolen S, Penn O, Botman H, Dib N, et al. Does Stenosis Severity of Native Vessels Influence Bypass Graft Patency? A Prospective Fractional Flow Reserve-Guided Study. Ann Thorac Surg [Internet]. 2007 Jun;83(6):2093–7. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17532405
- Sciagrà R, Tebbe U, Vogt A, Wiegand V, Kreuzer H, Neuhaus KL. 18. [Occlusion of the common trunk of the left coronary artery. Physiopathological features and clinical findings]. G Ital Cardiol [Internet]. 1986 Jun;16(6):516-21. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2944789
- 19. Zipes DP, Wellens HJJ. Sudden Cardiac Death. Circulation [Internet].

1998 Nov 24;98(21):2334-51. Available from: https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/10.1161/01.CIR.98.21.2334

- 20 de Vreede-Swagemakers JJ., Gorgels AP., Dubois-Arbouw WI, van Ree JW, Daemen MJA., Houben LG., et al. Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest in the 1990s: A Population-Based Study in the Maastricht Area on Incidence, Characteristics and Survival. J Am Coll Cardiol Nov;30(6):1500-5. [Internet] 1997 Available from: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0735109797003550
- Mozaffarian D, Benjamin EJ, Go AS, Arnett DK, Blaha MJ, Cushman 21. M. et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics--2015 update: a report from the American Heart Association. Circulation [Internet]. 2015 Jan Available 27:131(4):e29-322. from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25520374
- 22 Cowie M. Incidence and aetiology of heart failure; a population-based study. Eur Heart J [Internet]. 1999 Mar;20(6):421-8. Available from: https://academic.oup.com/eurhearti/articlelookup/doi/10.1053/euhj.1998.1280
- 23 Stamler J, Vaccaro O, Neaton JD, Wentworth D. Diabetes, Other Risk Factors, and 12-Yr Cardiovascular Mortality for Men Screened in the Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial. Diabetes Care [Internet]. 1;16(2):434-44. Available 1993 Feb from: https://diabetesjournals.org/care/article/16/2/434/17434/Diabetes-Other-Risk-Factors-and-12-Yr
- 24. Sniderman A, Michel C, Racine N. Heart disease in patients with diabetes mellitus. J Clin Epidemiol [Internet]. 1992 Dec;45(12):1357-70. Available from: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/089543569290197U
- 25. Franklin SS, Larson MG, Khan SA, Wong ND, Leip EP, Kannel WB, et al. Does the Relation of Blood Pressure to Coronary Heart Disease Risk Change With Aging? Circulation [Internet]. 2001 Mar 6;103(9):1245-9. Available from: https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/10.1161/01.CIR.103.9.1245
- Fagot-Campagna A, Pettitt DJ, Engelgau MM, Burrows NR, Geiss LS, Valdez R, et al. Type 2 diabetes among North adolescents: An 26 epidemiologic health perspective. J Pediatr [Internet]. 2000 May;136(5):664-72. Available from: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0022347600047545
- 27. Conti CR. When should patients with chest pain be referred for coronary angiography? Clin Cardiol [Internet]. 2004 Feb;27(2):61-2. Available from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/clc.4960270202
- 28. Stone, P. and Goldschlager N. Stone, P. and Goldschlager, N. (1979) Left Main Coronary Artery Disease Review and Appraisal. Cardiovascular Medicine, 4, 165-177. - References - Scientific Research Publishing [Internet]. 1979 [cited 2022 Jun 29]. p. 4; 165. Available from: https://www.scirp.org/(S(Iz5mqp453edsnp55rrgjct55))/reference/Refer encesPapers.aspx?ReferenceID=1270719
- Hussain C, Shah B, Saidullah S, Aslam Awan Z, Naeem Malik M. 29 Prequency of Left Main Coronary Artery Disease in Patient Presenting for Coronary Angiography to Cardiac Cath. Lab, Hayatabad Medical Complex Peshawar A u th o r`s A filia tion Funding Source: Nil Conflict of Interest: Nil Address of Correspondence Introductio n.
- Shaikh MY, Ahmad M, Rasheed A, Jan DM, Ali M. LEFT MAIN 30. DISEASE â€" PATIENT PROFILE. Pakistan Hear J. 2007;40(1-2).