DOI: https://doi.org/10.53350/pjmhs221651180

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Maternal Diabetes Mellitus and Risk of Congenital Heart Defects in Offspring: A Cohort Study

RUBINA JABEEN[1], SYEDA TASNEEM KAUSAR[2]
[1]*Principal Superior University Department of Nursing, Lahore*
[2]*Director Superior University, Department of Nursing, Lahore*
Corresponding author: Rubina Jabeen, Email: rubinajabeen302@yahoo.com, Cell: 0308 4711339

ABSTARCT

Objective: To assess whether maternal diabetes mellitus (MDM) is associated with an increased risk of congenital heart defects (CHD) in offspring.

Research design and methods: A population based cohort study was conducted by using information from the National Health Services and long term care of Punjab (Pakistan) health care administrative databases. The researcher identified all women with a MDM diagnosis with a live birth singleton delivery between June 2020 and May 2022. MDM was defined based on laboratory test results and diagnosis coding.

Results: A total of 17,335 people with CHD remained allocated to embryologically relevant heart phenotypes. CHD recurrence was 328 per 11,500 live births (n=236) in offspring of women having gestational diabetes mellitus, associated to a baseline danger of 81 per 11,500; attuned comparative danger for CHD remained 5.01 (96 percent confidence range, 4.52–5.54). The connection was unaffected by birth year, maternal age at diabetes beginning, or diabetes extent, in addition CHD dangers associated with type 1 and DM type-2 were not substantially different. Individuals born to females who had previously experienced acute exacerbations had a higher risk of CHD than just those born to women who had not previously experienced acute diabetes complications (hazard ratio, 8.63; 96 percent confidence interval, 6.24–11.7, and relative risk, 4.48; 96 percent confidence interval, 3.92–5.14, respectively; P=0.0005). Maternal gestational DM remained related to all particular CHD phenotypes (adjusted hazard range, 3.75–15.9).

Conclusion: In a large observational study, MDM was associated with an increased risk of CHD. Therefore, diabetes screening during pregnancy is suggested to identify women at risk for CHD.

Keywords: Maternal diabetes mellitus, Congenital Heart defects and Offspring

INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus increases the chance of unfavorable reproductive outcomes, particularly birth malformations, significantly more than nondiabetic females, also pregestational maternal DM is solitary moderately common community health risk for congenital heart problems [1]. Although the link between pregestational DM and CHD was recognized for many years, this is unclear whether this awareness has had a significant impact on the number of symptoms caused through insulin resistance or the percentage of births through CHDs attributable to pregestational DM [2]. Experiments reveal that hyperglycemia throughout subsequent pregnancies may modify gene expression in essential cellular structures of emergent heart, including embryonic heart's outflow sections; though, mechanism causing the current altered gene transcription is unknown [3]. Prenatal diabetes mellitus might well be connected with various forms of CHD, although no epidemiology has been reported so far, indicating that result of DM differs across parts of embryonic heart correlating to cardiac phenotypes. Furthermore, relationships between type 1 and DM type-2 can vary, providing insight into potential teratogenic pathways. We studied danger of CHDs in children of mothers through pregestational DM in this Danish statewide cohort research [4]. Furthermore, we looked to see if (1) the connection had altered over time, (2) pregestational diabetes problems enhanced danger of coronary heart disease, (3) relationship remained connected to particular CHDs, in addition (4) relationship diverse through maternal DM [5].

METHODOLOGY

To use the Civil Registration System, researchers recognized every cohort member's mother and used the NPR to identify which of those females had pregestational DM. Women have been classed as having a single issue or two problems, with the latter defining numerous complications or registration of three of the aforementioned problems. Women have been categorized as with 3 episodes of severe problems, 1 episode of acute complications, in addition late comorbidities exclusively under an additional classification approach. Researchers recognized females who acquired gestational DM (ICD-8 code 636.75; ICD-10 code O25.5) in second before third trimester among women who did not have preeclampsia diabetes mellitus. CHDs have been categorized using a previous related technique that maps CHDs into embryologically associated flaw phenotypes using a systematic approach. ICD codes for simple CHDs were verified in contradiction of hospital data and found to be extremely accurate. We separated individuals having solitary CHDs from those with extracardiac birth abnormalities in a preliminary study. Individuals with CHD and one mild abnormality were thought to have separate CHD. People having isolated small flaws were just not regarded as having birth abnormalities. Researchers tested whether the RRs were comparable for the various results of Conotruncal versus non-nocturnal deficiencies, and cardiac deformities believed to have originated from the occipital secondary heart profession versus those believed to have originated from the posterolateral heart field, to see if the impacts of DM diverge across sections of embryonic heart conforming to precise cardiac phenotypes. The logistic regression tests have been used for all of the tests. The number of complications was reported on a continuous scale in trend analyses.

RESULTS

Researchers tested whether the RRs were comparable for the various results of Conotruncal versus nonnocturnal deficiencies, and cardiac deformities believed to have originated from the occipital secondary heart profession against these believed to have originated from posterolateral heart field, to see if the impacts of DM diverge transversely segments of embryonic heart consistent to precise cardiac phenotypes. The logistic regression tests have been used for all of the tests. The sum of problems was reported as a continuous function in trending analyses. Children of women experiencing pregestational diabetes mellitus are approximately five times more probable CHDs than offspring of non-diabetic mothers (Table 2). (Occurrence of CHD at birth 320 per 11 500 versus 83 per 11 500). The extents of the RRs were unaffected by maternal age at DM beginning or period of DM. Prior to 2019, 95.9 percent of diabetic mothers remained identified before the age of 30, but lone 72.9 percent have been identified afterward, although RR amplitudes did not differ based on maternal age at diabetes start in either era. 37.4 percent of females through pregestational DM developed pregestational

diabetic problems. Progeny of females through single acute pregestational problems had a substantially higher CHD danger than offspring of females without diabetes problems (P=0.0005), while descendants of women to single following along had a danger similar to that of females without problems (P=0.62, Table 2). By means of an alternate method, the RRs for 2 acute episodes problems, 1 incident of serious form, and late comorbidities alone were 9.84, 10.09, and 4.86, respectively, P(trend)=0.009. For mothers giving birth between June 2020 and May 2021, data on beginning diabetes therapy was provided. The RRs for CHD did not change depending on the kind of medication. Maternal pregestational diabetes mellitus has been linked to an enhanced danger of most CHD phenotypes, having financial management ranging from 3.75 to 14.9.

Table 1: Treatment and Occurrence of Gestational diabetes mellitus among mothers

First Treatment	Birth N=	No.	Occurrence	RR Crude	Adjusted RR
Non-insulin	411 (0.07)	16	321	4.47 (2.47–7.28)	4.14 (2.29–6.76)
Insulin	1497 (0.32)	46	285	3.73 (2.72–4.96)	3.65 (2.66–4.85)
Total	1905 (0.41)	59	295	3.88 (2.95–4.99)	3.75 (2.85–4.83)

Table 2: Occurrence of Gestational diabetes mellitus among offsprings

Maternal DM	Birth N	No.	Occurrence	RR Crude	Adjusted RR
Pregestational DM†	7299	239	319	4.01 (3.52–4.54)	4.00 (3.51–4.53)
Gestational DM, second trimester‡	5699	59	99	1.26 (0.95–1.62)	1.22 (0.92–1.56)
Gestational DM, third trimester‡	6724	79	115	1.36 (1.07–1.69)	1.38 (1.09–1.72)

DISCUSSION

Maternal Pregestational DM has been related through the 4-fold rate of child CHD danger in the research of 3 million births over the 35-year period, biggest of the type to date [6]. The rise in CHD danger was consistent throughout time in addition remained comparable across DM type-1 and 2. In comparison to nondiabetic women, pregestational acute chronic hyperglycemia imparted a nearly 8-fold rise in CHD danger [7]. Maternal pregestational DM remained linked to an enhanced danger of altogether CHD subtypes, with ventricular outflow abnormalities. Cardiac problems were substantially more common than noncardiac malformations in diabetic female kids. Our findings of a 4-fold greater CHD danger in kids of diabetic moms compared to non-diabetic mothers are comparable to those three previously available population-based studies of CHD danger in diabetic females [8]. Prenatal treatment has improved recently, as has public awareness of the need for good glucose management prior to pregnancy. Nevertheless, our findings imply that enhanced treatment has not reduced the incidence of offspring CHD in diabetes moms. Moreover, CHD danger linked through maternal DM altered relatively little over 33 years, and 77 percent of CHD cases in kids delivered to diabetic mothers may be attributable to maternal DM [9]. Other factors that changed throughout research phase (e.g., growing occurrence of overweightness also Dm type-2, growing mother age) might well have overridden population-level advantages of improved prenatal care. Preeclampsia diabetes mellitus, on the other hand, may be related to poor fetal cardiac shows changes in prenatal treatment, shifting lifestyle factors, or diabetes type [10].

CONCLUSION

Maternal pregestational DM typ-1 and DM type-2 remained linked to the fourfold increase in child CHD risk, which remained consistent over 39 years. Solitary maternal bouts of serious diabetic problems before pregnancy remained linked to increased risk of children CHD, indicating the part for glucose in causative path. The offspring of diabetic mothers had equal dangers of maximum forms of CHDs, signifying that maternal DM had an early influence on overall heart maturation. The heightened danger of CHDs much outweighed enlarged danger of noncardiac abnormalities caused by maternal DM.

REFERENCES

1. McIntyre HD, Gibbons KS, Flenady VJ, Callaway LK. Overweight and obesity in Australian mothers: epidemic or endemic? *Med J Aust.* (2021) 196:184–8. 10.5694/mja11.11120 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
2. Nohr EA, Vaeth M, Bech BH, Henriksen TB, Cnattingius S, Olsen J. Maternal obesity and neonatal mortality according to subtypes of preterm birth. *Obstet Gynecol.* (2007) 110:1083–90. 10.1097/01.AOG.0000286760.46679.f8 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
3. Aubry EM, Oelhafen S, Fankhauser N, Raio L, Cignacco EL. Adverse perinatal outcomes for obese women are influenced by the presence of comorbid diabetes and hypertensive disorders. *Sci Rep.* (2019) 9:9793. 10.1038/s41598-019-46179-8 [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
4. Cnattingius S, Villamor E, Johansson S, Edstedt Bonamy AK, Persson M, Wikstrom AK, et al.. Maternal obesity and risk of preterm delivery. *JAMA.* (2019) 309:2362–70. 10.1001/jama.2013.6295 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
5. McGillick EV, Lock MC, Orgeig S, Morrison JL. Maternal obesity mediated predisposition to respiratory complications at birth and in later life: understanding the implications of the obesogenic intrauterine environment. *Paediatr Respir Rev.* (2021) 21:11–8. 10.1016/j.prrv.2016.10.003 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
6. Rastogi S, Rojas M, Rastogi D, Haberman S. Neonatal morbidities among full-term infants born to obese mothers. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med.* (2019) 28:829–35. 10.3109/14767058.2014.935324 [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
7. Vasudevan C, Renfrew M, McGuire W. Fetal and perinatal consequences of maternal obesity. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed.* (2021) 96:F378–82. 10.1136/adc.2009.170928 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
8. Celik HT, Korkmaz A, Ozyuncu O, Yigit S, Yurdakok M. Maternal adipose tissue, antenatal steroids, and respiratory distress syndrome: complex relations. *Turk J Pediatr.* (2019) 61:859–66. 10.24953/turkjped.2019.06.006 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
9. Carmichael SL, Kan P, Gould JB, Stevenson DK, Shaw GM, Lee HC. Maternal prepregnancy body mass index and risk of bronchopulmonary dysplasia. *Pediatr Res.* (2017) 82:8–13. 10.1038/pr.2017.90 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
10. Haberg SE, Stigum H, London SJ, Nystad W, Nafstad P. Maternal obesity in pregnancy and respiratory health in early childhood. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol.* (2019) 23:352–62. 10.1111/j.1365-3016.2009.01034.x